arXiv:1701.04513v2 [math.DG] 18 Jan 2017

NON-COMMUTATIVE ANALYTIC TORSION FORM ON THE TRANSFORMATION GROUPOID CONVOLUTION ALGEBRA

BING KWAN SO AND GUANGXIANG SU

Abstract. Given a fiber bundle $Z \to M \to B$ and a flat vector bundle $E \to M$ with a compatible action of a discrete group G, and regarding B/G as the non-commutative space corresponding to the crossed product algebra, we construct an analytic torsion form as a non-commutative deRham differential form. We show that our construction is well defined under the weaker assumption of positive Novikov-Shubin invariant. We prove that this torsion form appears in a transgression formula, from which a non-commutative Riamannian-Roch-Grothendieck index formula follows.

1. Introduction

The basic philosophy of non-commutative geometry is to regard some non commutative algebras as (smooth, continuous, measurable) functions on a space, and then extending geometric concepts like topological invariants to these algebras [6]. One of such classes of topological invariants that has been particularly successfully generalized to "non-commutative spaces" is that of index theory (see [6, Chapter 2] for an introduction).

In this paper, we turn to construct another important invariant, namely, the Bismut-Lott analytic torsion form, for the non-commutative transformation groupoid convolution algebra. Our approach is based on the non-commutative super-connection formalism of [7, 11], developed for local index theory.

Recall that the Bismut-Lott analytic torsion form was constructed as a higher analogue of the Ray-Singer torsion [3]. Let $Z \to M \xrightarrow{\pi} B$ be a fiber bundle with connected closed fibers $Z_x := \pi^{-1}(x)$, $x \in B$ and let $E \to M$ be a complex vector bundle with flat connection ∇^E and Hermitian metric g^E. Fix a splitting $TM = V \oplus H$ into vertical and horizontal bundles. Let D_t be the rescaled Dirac operator. The Bismut-Lott analytic torsion form is defined as [3, (3.118)]:

$$\int_0^\infty \Big\{ -F^\wedge(t) + \frac{\chi'(Z;E)}{2} + \big(\frac{\dim(Z)\,\mathrm{rk}(E)\chi(Z)}{4} - \frac{\chi'(Z;E)}{2}\big)(1-2t)e^{-t} \Big\} \frac{dt}{t} \in \Gamma^\infty(\wedge^\bullet T^*B), \tag{1}$$

where

$$F^\wedge(t) := (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{-\frac{N_\Omega}{2}}\,\mathrm{str}_\Psi(2^{-1}N(1+2(D_t-D_t')^2)e^{-(D_t+D_t')^2}.$$

The Bismut-Lott analytic torsion form appears in a transgression formula, hence a Riemannian-Roch-Grothendeick index formula follows. This construction was

Jilin University, Chanchun, P. R. China. bkso@graduate.hku.hk (B.K. So)
Chern Institute of Mathematics and LPMC, Nankai University, Tianjin, P. R. China. guangxiangsu@gmail.com (G. Su).

extended to general foliations with Hausdorff holonomy gropoids by Heistech and Lazarov [10], using Haefliger cohomology.

When the fiber of the bundle is some non-commutative space $\mathcal{B}$ (i.e. a smooth sub-algebra of some C^*-algebra), Lott [13] defined the analytic torsion as

$$(2)\quad \int_0^\infty \Big(\int_0^1 \mathrm{str}_\Psi(Ne^{-D_t(r)^2})dr + \int_0^1 r(1-r)\int_0^1 \mathrm{str}_\Psi\left(N\big[D_t - D_t', e^{-r'D_t(r)^2}(D_t - D_t')e^{-(1-r')D_t(r)^2}\big]\right)dr'dr\Big)\frac{dt}{t}.$$

Necessarily, our definition is formally the same as (2). However, we instead regard the base space as some non-commutative space (the transformation groupoid convolution algebra). Correspondingly, we replace the deRham complex (with coefficient) by the non-commutative deRham complex, and we use the non-commutative Bismut super-connection and the trace defined [7]. By some standard arguments, we obtain a transgression formula and a non-commutative Riemannian-Roch-Grothendieck index theorem. Thus our work again verifies the power of the super-connection formalism, as pointed out in [7] and [5].

In order to adapt the standard construction, there is, however, a major technical difficulty we need to overcome – the integral (2) may not converge as $t \to \infty$. In [13], the author made a very strong additional assumption that the Laplacian has a spectral gap at 0. This assumption is obviously true for a compact fiber bundle, but usually false in the non-compact case. At this point our technical approach differs from [13]. In [1], Azzali, Goette and Schick proved that the integrand defining the L^2 analytic torsion form, as well as several other invariants related to the signature operator, decays polynomially provided the Novikov-Shubin invariant is positive. In [16], we proved that its derivatives also satisfy similar estimates (and as a corollary the L^2 analytic torsion form is smooth). In this paper, we use similar arguments to prove that the non-commutative terms and their derivatives in the analogue of (2) also decay polynomially under, the same condition that the Novikov-Shubin invariant being positive . Therefore the non-commutative analytic torsion form is well defined and smooth.

The main theme of this paper is thus extending the technical results of [16] to the non-commutative case. In Section 2, we review the main construction of [16], namely the Sobolev type norms $\|\cdot\|_{\mathrm{HS}\,m}$ for kernels, and the operator norms. The main result is Corollary 2.18, which concerns the compatibility of the two norms. In Section 3, we begin with reviewing the non-commutative differential forms and the Bismut super-connection [7, 13]. Then we extend the norms constructed in [16] to the non-commutative case (it is essentially ℓ^2 in the $dg_{(k)}$ components), and generalize Corollary 2.18 to non-commutative forms. In Section 4, we mainly follow Section 4 of [1] to compute the large time limit of the non-commutative heat kernel. Here, a major difficulty is that the non-commutative Bisumt super-connection is *not* flat, unlike the commutative case, and which is a major assumption in [1]. However, we discover that one can express the bracket involving the connection term of the Bismut super-connection as a product of bounded, fiber-wise operators. Finally in Section 5, we write down the relevant character forms, compute their short time limit (with rather standard techniques) and prove our transgression and index formulas. In the last section, we give some more remarks and highlight some open problems.

Notation 1.1. Throughout the paper, given two real valued expressions f_1, f_2 we will write

$$f_1 \dot{\leq} f_2$$

if there exists some constant $C \geq 0$ such that $f_1 \leq C f_2$.

2. Sobolev norms on the fibered product groupoid

In this section, we review the construction of norms and Sobolev spaces in [16].

2.1. **The geometric settings.** Let $Z \to M \xrightarrow{\pi} B$ be a fiber bundle with connected fibers $Z_x := \pi^{-1}(x)$, $x \in B$. We assume B is compact, however, M is, in general, non-compact. Denote the vertical tangent bundle by $V := \mathrm{Ker}(d\pi) \subset TM$.

We suppose that there is a finitely generated discrete group G acting on M from the right freely and properly discontinuously. We also assume that G acts on B such that the actions commute with π and $M_0 := M/G$ is a compact manifold. Since the submersion π is G-invariant, M_0 is also foliated and denote such foliation by V_0. Fix a distribution $H_0 \subset TM_0$ complementary to V_0. Fix a metric on V_0 and a G-invariant metric on B. Then one obtains a Riemannian metric on M_0 as $g^{V_0} \oplus \pi^* g^B$ on $TM_0 = V_0 \oplus H_0$.

Since the projection from M to M_0 is a local diffeomorphism, one gets a G-invariant splitting $TM = V \oplus H$. Furthermore this local diffeomorphism induces G-invariant metrics on V and M. Denote by P^V, P^H respectively the projections to V and H.

Given any vector field $X \in \Gamma^\infty(TB)$, denote the horizontal lift of X by $X^H \in \Gamma^\infty(H) \subset \Gamma^\infty(TM)$. By our construction,

$$|X^H|_{g^M}(p) = |X|_{g^B}(\pi(p)).$$

Denote by μ_x, μ_B respectively the Reimannian measures on Z_x and B.

Definition 2.1. We will consider several connections on the tangent bundle. Denote by ∇^B, ∇^M respectively the Levi-Cevita connection on B and M. Define the connection $\nabla^{M/B}$ on the vertical bundle $V \to M$ by [2, p.322]

$$\nabla^{M/B} := P^V \nabla^M P^V,$$

and define another connection $\nabla^\oplus$ on $TM = \pi^* \nabla^B \oplus V$ by [2, Proposition 10.2]

$$\nabla^\oplus := \pi^* \nabla^B + \nabla^{M/B}.$$

We denote the curvature of $\nabla^{M/B}$ by $R^{M/B}$. We will also abuse notation to use the same symbol to denote the induced connection on the dual and exterior product bundles.

Definition 2.2. Let $E \xrightarrow{\wp} M$ be a complex vector bundle. We say that E is a contravariant G-bundle if G also acts on E from the right, such that for any $v \in E, g \in G$, $\wp(vg) = \wp(v)g \in M$, and moreover G acts as a linear map between the fibers.

The group G then acts on sections of E from the left by

$$s \mapsto g^* s, \quad (g^* s)(p) := s(pg) g^{-1} \in \wp^{-1}(p), \quad \forall\, p \in M.$$

We assume that E is endowed with a G-invariant metric g_E, and a G-invariant connection ∇^E (which is obviously possible if E is the pullback of some bundle on M_0). In particular, for any invariant section s of E, $|s|$ is an invariant function on M. Let $(\nabla^E)'$ be the adjoint connection of ∇^E with respect to g_E.

In the following, for any vector bundle E we denote its dual bundle by E'.

Recall that the "infinite dimensional bundle" over B in the sense of Bismut is a vector bundle with typical fiber $\Gamma_c^\infty(E|_{Z_x})$ (or other function spaces) over each $x \in B$. We denote such Bismut bundle by $E_\flat$. The space of smooth sections on $E_\flat$ is, as a vector space, $\Gamma_c^\infty(E)$. Each element $s \in \Gamma_c^\infty(E)$ is regarded as a map

$$x \mapsto s|_{Z_x} \in \Gamma_c^\infty(E|_{Z_x}), \quad \forall\, x \in B.$$

In other words, one defines a section on $E_\flat$ to be smooth, if the images of all $x \in B$ fit together to form an element in $\Gamma_c^\infty(E)$. In particular, $\Gamma_c^\infty((M \times \mathbb{C})_\flat) = C_c^\infty(M)$, and one identifies $\Gamma_c^\infty(TB \otimes (M \times \mathbb{C})_\flat)$ with $\Gamma_c^\infty(H)$ by $X \otimes f \mapsto fX^H$.

Now we recall the defintion of the Bismut super-connection in the commutative case. To shorten notations we denote $E^\bullet := E \otimes \wedge^\bullet V'$.

Definition 2.3. The Bismut super-connection is an operator of the form

$$D_B := d_V^{\nabla^E} + L^{E_\flat^\bullet} + \iota_\Theta,$$

where $d_V^{\nabla^E}$ is the fiber-wise DeRham differential, and ι_Θ is the contraction with the V-valued horizontal 2-form Θ defined by

$$\Theta(X_1^H, X_2^H) := -P^V[X_1^H, X_2^H], \quad \forall\, X_1, X_2 \in \Gamma^\infty(TB).$$

Here, we recall that the operator D_B is just the DeRham differential operator [2, Proposition 10.1]. However, the grading and the identification $\wedge^\bullet H' \otimes \wedge^\bullet V' \otimes E \cong \wedge^\bullet T^*M \otimes E$, depends on the splitting.

On the Bismut bundle one has the standard metric on $\Gamma_c^\infty(E_\flat)$ given by

$$\langle s_1, s_2 \rangle_{E_\flat}(x) := \int_{Z_x} \langle s_1(p), s_2(p) \rangle_E \mu_x(p). \tag{3}$$

The adjoint connection of $\eth_B$ with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{E_\flat}$, which is defined by the relation

$$d_B \langle s_1, s_2 \rangle_{E_\flat} = \langle D_B s_1, s_2 \rangle_{E_\flat} - \langle s_1, D'_B s_2 \rangle_{E_\flat}, \tag{4}$$

is given by

$$D'_B = (d_V^{\nabla^E})^* + (L^{E_\flat^\bullet})' - \Theta\wedge,$$

where $(L^{E_\flat^\bullet})'$ is the adjoint connection of $L^{E_\flat^\bullet}$. See [3, Proposition 3.7] and [12] for explicit formulas for $(L^{E_\flat^\bullet})'$. Note that the degree $(0,-1)$ component $(d_V^{\nabla^E})^*$ is the formal adjoint operator of $d_V^{\nabla^E}$ (we use the superscript $'$ to denote adjoint connections and * to denote adjoint operators). Recall that D'_B is also flat, i.e. $(D'_B)^2 = 0$.

2.2. **Covariant derivatives.** In this section we recall some constructions of [16, Section 2].

From the connection ∇^E, one defines an induced connection on the Bismut bundle $E_\flat$ (as a $C^\infty(B)$ module) by

$$\nabla_X^{E_\flat} s := \nabla_{X^H}^E s, \quad \forall\, s \in \Gamma^\infty(E_\flat) \cong \Gamma_c^\infty(E).$$

Also, note that $[X^H, Y]$ is vertical for any vertical vector field $Y \in \Gamma^\infty(V)$. Therefore

$$\nabla^{V_\flat}_X Y := [X^H, Y], \quad \forall\, Y \in \Gamma^\infty(V_\flat) \cong \Gamma^\infty(V)$$

naturally defines a connection.

Definition 2.4. (cf. [16, Definition 2.2]) The covariant derivative on $E_\flat$ is the map

$$\dot\nabla^{E_\flat} : \Gamma^\infty(\otimes^\bullet T^*B \bigotimes \otimes^\bullet V'_\flat \bigotimes E_\flat) \to \Gamma^\infty(\otimes^{\bullet+1} T^*B \bigotimes \otimes^\bullet V'_\flat \bigotimes E_\flat),$$

defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\big(\dot\nabla^{E_\flat} s\big)(X_0, X_1, \cdots, X_k; Y_1, \cdots, Y_l) :=& \nabla^{E_\flat}_{X_0} s(X_1, \cdots, X_k; Y_1, \cdots, Y_l) \\ &- \sum_{j=1}^{l} s\big(X_1, \cdots, X_k; Y_1, \cdots, \nabla^{V_\flat}_{X_0} Y_j, \cdots, Y_l\big) \\ &- \sum_{i=1}^{k} s\big(X_1, \cdots, \nabla^{B}_{X_0} X_i, \cdots, X_k; Y_1, \cdots Y_l\big),\end{aligned}$$

for any $k, l \in \mathbb{N}, X_0, \cdots, X_k \in \Gamma^\infty(TB), Y_1, \cdots, Y_l \in \Gamma^\infty(V)$.

Clearly, taking covariant derivative can be iterated, which we denote by $(\dot\nabla^{E_\flat})^m$, $m = 1, 2, \cdots$. Note that $(\dot\nabla^{E_\flat})^m$ is a differential operator of order m.

Also, we define $\dot\partial^V : \Gamma^\infty(\otimes^\bullet T^*B \bigotimes \otimes^\bullet V'_\flat \bigotimes E_\flat) \to \Gamma^\infty(\otimes^\bullet T^*B \bigotimes \otimes^{\bullet+1} V'_\flat \bigotimes E_\flat)$ by

$$\begin{aligned}\big(\dot\partial^V s\big)(X_1, \cdots, X_k; Y_0, Y_1, \cdots, Y_l) :=& \nabla^E_{Y_0} s(X_1, \cdots, X_k; Y_1, \cdots, Y_l) \\ &- \sum_{j=1}^{l} s(X_1, \cdots, X_k; Y_1, \cdots, P^V(\nabla^M_{Y_0} Y_j), \cdots, Y_l).\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Note that the operators $\dot\nabla^{E_\flat}$ and $\dot\partial^V$ are just respectively the $(0,1)$ and $(1,0)$ parts of the usual covariant derivative operator.

Since M is locally isometric to a compact space M_0, it is a manifold with bounded geometry (see [15, Appendix 1] for an introduction). On any manifold with bounded geometry one constructs various standard Sobolev spaces [15, Appendix 1 (1.3)]. In particular we regard $(\dot\nabla^{E_\flat})^i(\dot\partial^V)^j s \in \Gamma^\infty(\otimes^i H' \bigotimes \otimes^j V' \bigotimes E_\flat)$, and consider:

Definition 2.5. For $s \in \Gamma^\infty_c(E)$, we define its m-th Sobolev norm by

$$\|s\|^2_m := \sum_{i+j\le m} \int_{x\in B} \int_{y\in Z_x} \left|(\dot\nabla^{E_\flat})^i (\dot\partial^V)^j s\right|^2 (x,y)\mu_x(y)\mu_B(x). \tag{6}$$

Denote by $\mathcal{W}^m(E)$ be the Sobolev completion of $\Gamma^\infty_c(E)$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|_m$.

Definition 2.6. We say that a differential operator A is C^∞-bounded if in normal coordinates, the coefficients and their derivatives are uniformly bounded.

Example 2.7. Any invariant connection ∇^E is a C^∞-bounded differential operator, because by G-invariance the Christoffel symbols of ∇^E and all their derivatives are uniformly bounded. It follows that using normal coordinate charts and parallel transport with respect to ∇^E as trivialization, one sees that E is a bundle with bounded geometry.

2.3. **The fibered product.**

Definition 2.8. The fibered product of the submersion $M \to B$ is defined to be the manifold

$$M \times_B M := \{(p, q) \in M \times M : \pi(p) = \pi(q)\}.$$

It is endowed with maps $\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t} : M \times_B M \to M$ defined by

$$\mathbf{s}(p, q) := q, \quad \mathbf{t}(p, q) := p.$$

The manifold $M \times_B M$ is a fiber bundle over B, with typical fiber $Z \times Z$. One naturally has the splitting [9, Section 2]

$$T(M \times_B M) = \hat{H} \oplus V_{\mathbf{t}} \oplus V_{\mathbf{s}},$$

where

$$V_{\mathbf{s}} := \mathrm{Ker}(d\mathbf{t}), \quad V_{\mathbf{t}} := \mathrm{Ker}(d\mathbf{s}).$$

Denote by $P^{V_{\mathbf{s}}}, P^{V_{\mathbf{t}}}$ the projections onto $V_{\mathbf{t}}$ and $V_{\mathbf{s}}$.

Note that $V_{\mathbf{s}} \cong \mathbf{s}^* V$ and $V_{\mathbf{t}} \cong \mathbf{t}^*(V)$. As in Section 1.1, we endow $M \times_B M$ with a metric by lifting the metrics on H_0 and V_0. Then $M \times_B M$ is a manifold with bounded geometry.

Notation 2.9. With some abuse in notations, we shall often write elements in $M \times_B M$ as triples (x, y, z), where $x \in B, y, z \in Z_x$. Using these notations $\mathbf{s}(x, y, z) = (x, z), \mathbf{t}(x, y, z) = (x, y) \in M$.

Let G act on $M \times_B M$ by the diagonal action

$$(p, q)g := (pg, qg).$$

Let $E \to M$ be a contravariant G-vector bundle and E' be its dual. We will consider

$$\hat{E} \to M \times_B M := \mathbf{t}^* E \otimes \mathbf{s}^* E'.$$

Given a G-invariant connection ∇^E on E, let

$$\nabla^{\hat{E}} := \mathbf{t}^* \nabla^E \otimes I_{s^* E'} + I_{t^* E} \otimes \mathbf{s}^* \nabla^{E'}$$

be the tensor of the pullback connections.

Similar to Definition 2.4, we define the covariant derivative operators on $\Gamma^\infty(\otimes^\bullet T^* B \bigotimes \otimes^\bullet (V'_{\mathbf{t}})_\flat \bigotimes \otimes^\bullet (V'_{\mathbf{s}})_\flat \bigotimes \hat{E}_\flat)$.

Definition 2.10. Define

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big(\dot{\nabla}^{\hat{E}_\flat} \psi\big)(X_0, X_1, \cdots, X_k; Y_1, \cdots, Y_l, Z_1, \cdots, Z_{l'}) \\
&\qquad := \nabla^{\hat{E}_\flat}_{X_0} \psi(X_1, \cdots, X_k; Y_1, \cdots, Y_l, Z_1, \cdots, Z_{l'}) \\
&\qquad\quad - \sum_{1 \le j \le l} \psi(X_1, \cdots, X_k; Y_1, \cdots, \nabla^{V_\flat}_{X_0} Y_j, \cdots, Y_l, Z_1, \cdots, Z_{l'}) \\
&\qquad\quad - \sum_{1 \le j \le l'} \psi(X_1, \cdots, X_k; Y_1, \cdots, Y_l, Z_1, \cdots, \nabla^{V_\flat}_{X_0} Z_j, \cdots, Z_{l'}) \\
&\qquad\quad - \sum_{1 \le i \le k} \psi(X_1, \cdots, \nabla^B_{X_0} X_i, \cdots, X_k; Y_1, \cdots Y_l, Z_1, \cdots, Z_{l'}),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\big(\dot{\partial}^{\mathbf{s}} \psi\big)(X_1, \cdots, X_k; Y_0, Y_1, \cdots, Y_l, Z_1, \cdots, Z_{l'})$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
:=&\nabla^{\hat{E}}_{Y_0}\psi(X_1,\cdots,X_k;Y_1,\cdots,Y_l,Z_1,\cdots,Z_{l'})\\
&-\sum_{1\le j\le l}\psi(X_1,\cdots,X_k;Y_1,\cdots,P^{V_{\mathbf{s}}}(\nabla^M_{Y_0}Y_j),\cdots,Y_l,Z_1,\cdots,Z_{l'})\\
&-\sum_{1\le j\le l'}\psi(X_1,\cdots,X_k;Y_1,\cdots,Y_l,Z_1,\cdots,P^{V_{\mathbf{t}}}[Y_0,Z_j],\cdots,Z_{l'}),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\big(\dot{\partial}^{\mathbf{t}}\psi\big)(X_1,\cdots,X_k;Y_1,\cdots,Y_l,Z_0,Z_1,\cdots,Z_{l'})\\
:=&\nabla^{\hat{E}}_{Y_0}\psi(X_1,\cdots,X_k;Y_1,\cdots,Y_l,Z_0,Z_1,\cdots,Z_{l'})\\
&-\sum_{1\le j\le l}\psi(X_1,\cdots,X_k;Y_1,\cdots,P^{V_{\mathbf{s}}}[Y_0,Z_j],\cdots,Y_l,Z_1,\cdots,Z_{l'})\\
&-\sum_{1\le j\le l'}\psi(X_1,\cdots,X_k;Y_1,\cdots,Y_l,Z_1,\cdots,P^{V_{\mathbf{t}}}(\nabla^M_{Z_0}Z_j),\cdots,Z_{l'}).
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $\dot{\partial}^{\mathbf{s}}$ and $\dot{\partial}^{\mathbf{t}}$ are essentially $\dot{\partial}^V$ for the fiber bundle $M\times_B M\to M$ with $\mathbf{s}$ (resp. $\mathbf{t}$) as the projection.

For any $(x,y,z)\in M\times_B M$, let $\mathbf{d}_x(y,z)$ be the Riemannian distance between $y,z\in Z_x$. We regard $\mathbf{d}$ as a continuous, non-negative function on $M\times_B M$.

Definition 2.11. (See [14]). As a vector space,

$$
\Psi^{-\infty}_{\infty}(M\times_B M,E):=\left\{\psi\in\Gamma^{\infty}(\hat{E}):\ \begin{array}{l}\text{For any } m\in\mathbb{N},\varepsilon>0,\exists C_m>0\\ \text{such that } \forall\, i+j+k\le m,\\ |(\dot{\nabla}^{\hat{E}_\flat})^i(\dot{\partial}^{V_{\mathbf{s}}})^j(\dot{\partial}^{V_{\mathbf{t}}})^k\psi|\le C_m e^{-\varepsilon\mathbf{d}}\end{array}\right\}.
$$

The convolution product structure on $\Psi^{-\infty}_{\infty}(M\times_B M,E)$ is defined by

$$
\psi_1\star\psi_2(x,y,z):=\int_{Z_x}\psi_1(x,y,w)\psi_2(x,w,z)\mu_x(w).
$$

Now we introduce a Sobolev type norm on $\Psi^{-\infty}_{\infty}(M\times_B M,E)$. Fix a non-negative function $\chi\in C^{\infty}_c(M)$ such that

$$
\sum_{g\in G}g^*\chi=1. \tag{7}
$$

We may further assume $\chi^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is smooth.

Definition 2.12. For any $g\in G$, $\psi\in\Psi^{-\infty}_{\infty}(M\times_B M,E)$, define

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\psi\|^2_{\mathrm{HS}\,m}(g):=\sum_{i+j+k\le m}\int_B\int_{Z_x}\chi(x,z)\int_{Z_x}\Big|(\dot{\nabla}^{\hat{E}_\flat})^i(\dot{\partial}^{\mathbf{s}})^j(\dot{\partial}^{\mathbf{t}})^k((g^{-1})^*\psi)\Big|^2(x,y,z)\\
\mu_x(y)\mu_x(z)\mu_B(x).
\end{aligned}
$$

Denote by $\bar{\Psi}^{-\infty}_m(M\times_B M,E)$ the completion of $\Psi^{-\infty}_{\infty}(M\times_B M,E)$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{\mathrm{HS}\,m}$.

Remark 2.13. If ψ is G-invariant, then Definition 2.12 is constant and coincides with [16, Definition 1.9].

2.4. **Fiber-wise operators.**

Definition 2.14. A fiber-wise operator is a linear operator $A : \Gamma_c^\infty(E_\flat) \to \mathcal{W}^0(E)$ such that for all $x \in B$, and any sections $s_1, s_2 \in \Gamma_c^\infty(E_\flat)$,

$$(As_1)(x) = (As_2)(x),$$

whenever $s_1(x) = s_2(x)$.

We say A is smooth if $A(\Gamma_c^\infty(E)) \subseteq \Gamma^\infty(E)$. A smooth fiber-wise operator A is said to be bounded of order m if A can be extended to a bounded map from $\mathcal{W}^m(E)$ to itself.

Denote the operator norm of $A : \mathcal{W}^m(E) \to \mathcal{W}^m(E)$ by $\|A\|_{\mathrm{op}\, m}$.

Note that

$$\|g^* A\|_{\mathrm{op}\, m} = \|A\|_{\mathrm{op}\, m} \tag{8}$$

because g^* is an isometry.

Example 2.15. An example of smooth fiber-wise operators is $\Psi_\infty^{-\infty}(M \times_B M, E)$, acting on $\mathcal{W}^m(E)$ by vector representation, i.e.

$$\big(\Psi s\big)(x, y) := \int_{Z_x} \psi(x, y, z) s(x, z) \mu_x(z).$$

Notation 2.16. For the fiber-wise operator operator $A : \Gamma_c^\infty(E_\flat) \to \mathcal{W}^0(E)$ which is of the form given by Example 2.15, we denote its kernel by $A(x, y, z)$. We will write

$$\|A\|_{\mathrm{HS}\, m} := \|A(x, y, z)\|_{\mathrm{HS}\, m},$$

provided $A(x, y, z) \in \bar{\Psi}_m^{-\infty}(M \times_B M, E)$.

Fix a local trivialization

$$\mathbf{x}_\alpha : \pi^{-1}(B_\alpha) \to B_\alpha \times Z, \quad p \mapsto (\pi(p), \varphi^\alpha(p)),$$

where $B = \bigcup_\alpha B_\alpha$ is a finite open cover (since B is compact), and $\varphi^\alpha|_{\pi^{-1}(x)} : Z_x \to Z$ is a diffeomorphism. Such a trivialization induces a local trivialization of the fiber bundle $M \times_B M \xrightarrow{\mathbf{t}} M$ by $M = \bigcup M_\alpha, M_\alpha := \pi^{-1}(B_\alpha)$,

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_\alpha : \mathbf{t}^{-1}(M_\alpha) \to M_\alpha \times Z, \quad (p, q) \mapsto (p, \varphi^\alpha(q)).$$

On $M_\alpha \times Z$ the source and target maps are explicitly given by

$$\mathbf{s} \circ (\hat{\mathbf{x}}_\alpha)^{-1}(p, z) = (\mathbf{x}_\alpha)^{-1}(\pi(p), z) \text{ and } \mathbf{t} \circ (\hat{\mathbf{x}}_\alpha)^{-1}(p, z) = p. \tag{9}$$

For such trivialization, one has the natural splitting

$$T(M_\alpha \times Z) = H^\alpha \oplus V^\alpha \oplus TZ,$$

where H^α and V^α are respectively H and V restricted to $M_\alpha \times \{z\}$, $z \in Z$. It follows from (9) that

$$V^\alpha = d\hat{\mathbf{x}}_\alpha(V_{\mathbf{s}}), \quad TZ = d\hat{\mathbf{x}}_\alpha(V_{\mathbf{t}}).$$

Given any vector field X on B, let $X^H, X^{\hat{H}}$ be respectively the lifts of X to H and $\hat{H}$. Since $d\mathbf{t}(X^{\hat{H}}) = d\mathbf{s}(X^{\hat{H}}) = X^H$, it follows that

$$d\hat{\mathbf{x}}_\alpha(X^{\hat{H}}) = X^{H^\alpha} + d\varphi^\alpha(X^H).$$

Note that $d\varphi^\alpha(X^H) \in TZ \subseteq T(M_\alpha \times Z)$.

Corresponding to the splitting $T(M_\alpha \times Z) = H^\alpha \oplus V^\alpha \oplus TZ$, one can define the covariant derivative operators. Let ∇^{TM_α} be the Levi-Civita connection on M_α and ∇^{TZ} be the Levi-Civita connection on Z. Define for any smooth section

Let A be any smooth fiber-wise operator on $\Gamma_c^\infty(E_\flat)$. Then A induces a fiber-wise operator $\hat{A}$ on $\Gamma_c^\infty(\hat{E}_\flat)$ by

$$\hat{A}(u \otimes \mathbf{s}^* e) := A(u|_{M_\alpha \times \{z\}}) \otimes (\mathbf{s}^* e) \tag{10}$$

on $\mathbf{t}^{-1}(M_\alpha) \cong M_\alpha \times Z$, for any sections $e \in \Gamma^\infty(E'), u \in \Gamma^\infty(\mathbf{t}^* E)$ and $\psi = u \otimes \mathbf{s}^* e \in \Gamma_c^\infty(\hat{E})$.

Note that $\hat{A}$ is independent of trivialization since A is fiber-wise, and for any α, β and $z \in Z$, the transition function $\mathbf{x}_\beta \circ (\mathbf{x}_\alpha)^{-1}$ maps the sub-manifold $Z_x \times \{z\}$ to $Z_x \times \{\mathbf{x}_x^\beta \circ (\mathbf{x}_x^\alpha)^{-1}(z)\}$ as the identity diffeomorphism.

For any smooth fiber-wise operator A and $g \in G$, define

$$(g^* A)s := g^*(A(g^{-1})^* s)).$$

It is easy to check that $g^* A$ is still a smooth fiber-wise operators. We will denote the corresponding operator induced on $\Gamma_c^\infty(\hat{E}_\flat)$ by $\widehat{g^* A}$.

Define

$$S := \{g \in G : \chi(g^* \chi) \neq 0\}.$$

Note that S is finite since the G action is proper.

With these preparations, we state the main result of this section, which is a slight generalization of [16, Theorem 2.16]:

Theorem 2.17. *There exists a finite subset $S_1 \subset G$ such that for any smooth, bounded operator A, $\psi \in \Psi_\infty^{-\infty}(M \times_B M, E)$, one has*

$$\|\hat{A}\psi\|_{\mathrm{HS}\,1}(g) \dot{\leq} \sum_{g_1 \in S_1} \big(\|A\|_{\mathrm{op}\,1} + \|A\|_{\mathrm{op}\,0}\big)\|\psi\|_{\mathrm{HS}\,1}(g_1^{-1} g).$$

Proof. Fix a partition of unity $\{\theta_\alpha\} \in C_c^\infty(B)$ subordinate to $\{B_\alpha\}$. We still denote by $\{\theta_\alpha\}$ its pullback to M and $M \times_B M$. Fix any Riemannian metric on Z and denote the corresponding Riamannian measure by μ_Z. Then one writes

$$(\mathbf{x}_\alpha)_*(\mu_x \mu_B) = J_\alpha \mu_B \mu_Z,$$

for some smooth positive function J_α. Moreover, over any compact subsets on $B_\alpha \times Z$, $\frac{1}{J_\alpha}$ is bounded.

On $M_\alpha \times Z$, define differential operators as in [16, Equations (9), (10), (11)]:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big(\dot{\nabla}^\alpha \phi\big)(X_0, X_1, \cdots, X_k; Y_1, \cdots, Y_l, Z_1, \cdots, Z_{l'}) \\
&:= (\mathbf{x}_\alpha^* \nabla^{\hat{E}_\flat})_{X_0^{H^\alpha}} \phi(X_1, \cdots, X_k; Y_1, \cdots, Y_l, Z_1, \cdots, Z_{l'}) \\
&\quad - \sum_{1 \leq j \leq l} \phi(X_1, \cdots, X_k; Y_1, \cdots, [X_0^{H^\alpha}, Y_j], \cdots, Y_l, , Z_1, \cdots, Z_{l'}) \\
&\quad - \sum_{1 \leq j \leq l'} \phi(X_1, \cdots, X_k; Y_1, \cdots, Y_l, Z_1, \cdots, [X_0^{H^\alpha} Z_j], \cdots, Z_{l'}) \\
&\quad - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} \phi(X_1, \cdots, \nabla^B_{X_0} X_i, \cdots, X_k; Y_1, \cdots, Y_l, Z_1, \cdots, Z_{l'}),
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

$$\big(\dot{\partial}^\alpha \phi\big)(X_1, \cdots, X_k; Y_0, Y_1, \cdots, Y_l, Z_1, \cdots, Z_{l'})$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
(12)\qquad :=&(\mathbf{x}_\alpha^*\nabla^{\hat{E}_\flat})_{Y_0}\phi(X_1,\cdots,X_k;Y_1,\cdots,Y_l,Z_1,\cdots,Z_{l'})\\
&-\sum_{1\le j\le l}\phi(X_1,\cdots,X_k;Y_1,\cdots,P^{V^\alpha}(\nabla^{M_\alpha}_{Y_0}Y_j),\cdots,Y_l,Z_1,\cdots,Z_{l'})\\
&-\sum_{1\le j\le l'}\phi(X_1,\cdots,X_k;Y_1,\cdots,Y_l,Z_1,\cdots,P^{TZ}[Y_0,Z_j],\cdots,Z_{l'}),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\big(\dot\partial^Z\phi\big)(X_1,\cdots,X_k;Y_1,\cdots,Y_l,Z_0,Z_1,\cdots,Z_{l'})\\
(13)\qquad :=&(\mathbf{x}_\alpha^*\nabla^{\hat{E}_\flat})_{Z_0}\phi(X_1,\cdots,X_k;Y_1,\cdots,Y_l,Z_0,Z_1,\cdots,Z_{l'})\\
&-\sum_{1\le j\le l}\phi(X_1,\cdots,X_k;Y_1,\cdots,P^{V^\alpha}[Z_0,Y_j],\cdots,Y_l,Z_1,\cdots,Z_{l'})\\
&-\sum_{1\le j\le l'}\phi(X_1,\cdots,X_k;Y_1,\cdots,Y_l,Z_1,\cdots,\nabla^Z_{Z_0}Z_j,\cdots,Z_{l'}),
\end{aligned}
$$

for any smooth section $\phi\in\Gamma^\infty(\otimes^\bullet T^*B\bigotimes\otimes^\bullet(V^\alpha)'_\flat\bigotimes\otimes^\bullet T^*Z_\flat\bigotimes(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_\alpha^{-1})^*\hat{E}_\flat)$.

Given any $\psi\in\Psi^{-\infty}_\infty(M\times_B M), g\in G$, let $\psi^\alpha_g:=\hat{\mathbf{x}}^*_\alpha(g^*\psi)$. Since by definition

$$g^*(\hat{A}\psi)=(\widehat{g^*A})(g^*\psi),$$

the theorem clearly follows from the inequalities

$$
\begin{aligned}
(14)\qquad &\int_{B_\alpha}\int_{Z_x}\chi(x,z)\int_{Z_x}|\dot\nabla^\alpha\widehat{g^*A}(\theta_\alpha\psi^\alpha_g)|^2\mu_x(y)\mu_x(z)\mu_B(x)\\
&\qquad\dot\le\sum_{g_1\in S}(\|g^*A\|^2_{\mathrm{op}\,1}+\|g^*A\|^2_{\mathrm{op}\,0})\|\psi\|^2_{\mathrm{HS}\,1}(g_1^{-1}g),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
(15)\qquad &\int_{B_\alpha}\int_{Z_x}\chi(x,z)\int_{Z_x}|\dot\partial^\alpha\widehat{g^*A}(\theta_\alpha\psi^\alpha_g)|^2\mu_x(y)\mu_x(z)\mu_B(x)\\
&\qquad\dot\le\sum_{g_1\in S}(\|g^*A\|^2_{\mathrm{op}\,1}+\|g^*A\|^2_{\mathrm{op}\,0})\|\psi\|^2_{\mathrm{HS}\,1}(g_1^{-1}g),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
(16)\qquad &\int_{B}\int_{Z_x}\chi(x,z)\int_{y\in Z_x}|\dot\partial^Z\widehat{g^*A}(\theta_\alpha\psi^\alpha_g)|^2\mu_x(y)\mu_x(z)\mu_B(x)\\
&\qquad\dot\le\|g^*A\|^2_{\mathrm{op}\,0}\|\psi\|^2_{\mathrm{HS}\,1}(g).
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $Z=\bigcup_\lambda Z_\lambda$ be a locally finite cover. Then the support of $\chi\theta_\alpha$ lies in some finite sub-cover. Let χ_α be the characteristic function

$$\chi_\alpha(x,z)=1\text{ if }(\chi\theta_\alpha)(x,z)>0,\quad 0\text{ otherwise.}$$

Without loss of generality we may assume $E'|_{Z_\lambda}$ are all trivial. For each λ fix an orthonormal basis $\{e^\lambda_r\}$ of $E'|_{B_\alpha\times Z_\lambda}$, and write

$$\psi^\alpha:=\sum_r u^\lambda_r\otimes\mathbf{s}^*e^\lambda_r.$$

One directly computes (c.f. [16, Lemma 2.9]):

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\Big|\dot\nabla^\alpha(\hat{A}\theta_\alpha\psi^\alpha)\Big|^2(x,y,z)\\
&\qquad=\Big|\sum_r(\dot\nabla^{E_\flat}A\theta_\alpha(u^\lambda_r|_{M_\alpha\times\{z\}})(x,y))\otimes\mathbf{s}^*e^\lambda_r+(A\theta_\alpha u^\lambda_r)\otimes\mathbf{s}^*(\nabla^E e^\lambda_r)\Big|^2
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\dot{\leq}\sum_r \Big(\Big|\dot{\nabla}^{E_\flat} A\theta_\alpha(u_r^\lambda|_{M_\alpha\times\{z\}})(x,y)\Big|^2 + \Big|(A\theta_\alpha u_r^\lambda)\otimes \mathbf{s}^*(\nabla^E e_r^\lambda)\Big|^2\Big).$$

Integrating and using the same arguments as the proof of [16, Theorem 2.17], one gets the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{B_\alpha}\int_{Z_x}\chi(x,z)\int_{Z_x}|\dot{\nabla}^\alpha\widehat{g^*A}(\theta_\alpha\psi_g^\alpha)|^2\mu_x(y)\mu_x(z)\mu_B(x)\\
&\dot{\leq}\sum_\lambda\int_{Z_\lambda}\int_{B_\alpha}\int_{Z_x}\sum_r\Big(\big|\dot{\nabla}^{E_\flat}(g^*A)\theta_\alpha(u_r^\lambda|_{M_\alpha\times\{z\}})(x,y)\big|^2\\
&\qquad\qquad+\big|((g^*A)\theta_\alpha u_r^\lambda)\otimes\mathbf{s}^*(\nabla^E e_r^\lambda)\big|^2\Big)\mu_x(y)\mu_B(x)\mu_Z(z)\\
&\dot{\leq}\sum_\lambda\int_B\int_{Z_x}\chi_\alpha\int_{Z_x}(\|g^*A\|^2_{\mathrm{op}\,1}+\|g^*A\|^2_{\mathrm{op}\,0})\big(\big|\dot{\nabla}^{\hat{E}_\flat}\mathbf{x}_\alpha^*(\theta_\alpha\psi_g^\alpha)\big|^2\\
&\qquad\qquad+\big|\dot{\partial}^{\mathbf{s}}\mathbf{x}_\alpha^*(\theta_\alpha\psi_g^\alpha)\big|^2+\big|\dot{\partial}^{\mathbf{t}}\mathbf{x}_\alpha^*(\theta_\alpha\psi_g^\alpha)\big|^2+\big|\mathbf{x}_\alpha^*(\theta_\alpha\psi_g^\alpha)\big|^2\big)\mu_x(y)\mu_x(z)\mu_B(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Equation (16) hence follows from

$$\begin{aligned}
&\chi_\alpha\big(\big|\dot{\nabla}^{\hat{E}_\flat}\mathbf{x}_\alpha^*(\theta_\alpha\psi_g^\alpha)\big|^2+\big|\dot{\partial}^{\mathbf{s}}\mathbf{x}_\alpha^*(\theta_\alpha\psi_g^\alpha)\big|^2+\big|\dot{\partial}^{\mathbf{t}}\mathbf{x}_\alpha^*(\theta_\alpha\psi_g^\alpha)\big|^2+\big|\mathbf{x}_\alpha^*(\theta_\alpha\psi_g^\alpha)\big|^2\big)\\
&\quad=\sum_{g_1\in S}\chi_\alpha g_1^*\chi\big(\big|\dot{\nabla}^{\hat{E}_\flat}\mathbf{x}_\alpha^*(\theta_\alpha\psi_g^\alpha)\big|^2+\big|\dot{\partial}^{\mathbf{s}}\mathbf{x}_\alpha^*(\theta_\alpha\psi_g^\alpha)\big|^2+\big|\dot{\partial}^{\mathbf{t}}\mathbf{x}_\alpha^*(\theta_\alpha\psi_g^\alpha)\big|^2+\big|\mathbf{x}_\alpha^*(\theta_\alpha\psi_g^\alpha)\big|^2\big)\\
&\quad\dot{\leq}\sum_{g_1\in S}g_1^*\chi\sum_{i+j+k\leq 1}|(\dot{\nabla}^{\hat{E}_\flat})^i(\dot{\partial}^{V_{\mathbf{s}}})^j(\dot{\partial}^{V_{\mathbf{t}}})^k g^*\psi|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the same arguments with $\dot{\partial}^\alpha$ in place of $\dot{\nabla}^\alpha$, one gets the Equation (15).

As for the last inequality, since $\mathbf{t}^*E|_{M_\alpha\times\{z\}}$ and the connection $(\mathbf{x}_\alpha^{-1})^*\nabla^{\mathbf{s}^*E}$ is trivial along $\exp tZ_0$, it follows that

$$\dot{\partial}^Z\widehat{g^*A}(\theta_\alpha\psi_g^\alpha)=\widehat{g^*A}(\dot{\partial}^Z(\theta_\alpha\psi_g^\alpha)),$$

and from which Equation (16) follows. □

Repeating the arguments leading to Theorem 2.17 for higher derivatives, we obtain the analogue of [16, Corollary 2.18]:

Corollary 2.18. *For each $m=0,1,\cdots$, there exists a finite subset $S_m\subset G$ and constants $C_{m,l}\geq 0$, such that for any smooth bounded G-inavariant fiber-wise operator A,*

$$\|\hat{A}\psi\|_{\mathrm{HS}\,m}(g)\leq\sum_{g_1\in S_m}\Big(\sum_{0\leq l\leq m}C_{m,l}\|A\|_{\mathrm{op}\,l}\Big)\|\psi\|_{\mathrm{HS}\,m}(g_1^{-1}g).$$

3. The non-commutative Bismut bundle over the transformation groupoid convolution algebra

Let B be a compact manifold without boundary, G be a discrete group acting on B from the right. One defines the transformation groupoid $B\rtimes G\rightrightarrows B=B\times G$ with groupoid operations

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{s}(x,g):=xg,\quad \mathbf{t}(x,g):=x,\quad (x,g)^{-1}:=(xg,g^{-1}),\\
(x_1,g_1)(x_2,g_2):=(x_1,g_1g_2),\ \text{whenever } x_1g_1=x_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Definition 3.1. Write $\mathcal{C}_c^*(G) := \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{g\}_{g\in G}$. Define, as a vector space,

$$\mathcal{C}_c^*(B \rtimes G) := C^\infty(B) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} C_c^*(G),$$

where $\otimes$ here denotes algebraic tensor product. Hence elements in $\mathcal{C}_c^*(B \rtimes G)$ can be written as a finite sum

$$\sum_{g\in G} f^g g, \quad f \in C^\infty(B), g \in G.$$

Equip $\mathcal{C}_c^*(B \rtimes G)$ with multiplication and involution:

$$\begin{aligned} fg \star f'g' &:= f(g^* f')(gg') \\ (fg)^\sharp &:= (g^* \bar{f})g^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

3.1. **Non-commutative differential forms.** Following [7], we enlarge $\mathcal{C}_c^*(B \rtimes G)$ and consider the algebra of forms.

Definition 3.2. The universal differential algebra over $\mathcal{C}_c^*(G)$ is defined to be

$$\Omega_c^\bullet(G) := \bigoplus_{k=0}^\infty \Omega_c^k(G), \quad \Omega_c^k(G) := \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{dg_1 \cdots dg_k g\}_{g_1,\cdots g_k \in G\setminus\{e\}, g\in G}$$

with multiplication

$$\begin{aligned} (dg_1 \cdots dg_k g) \star (dg'_1 \cdots dg'_{k'} g') :=& dg_1 \cdots dg_k d(gg'_1) dg'_2 \cdots dg'_{k'} g' \\ &+ \sum_{1\le i\le k'-1} (-1)^i dg_1 \cdots dg_k dg dg'_1 \cdots d(g'_i g'_{i+1}) \cdots dg'_{k'} g' \\ &+ (-1)^{k'} dg_1 \cdots dg_k dg dg'_1 \cdots dg'_{k'-1}(g'_{k'} g'). \end{aligned}$$

Notation 3.3. To shorten notations, we denote k-tuples by $g_{(k)} := (g_1, \cdots, g_k) \in G^k$, and write

$$\begin{aligned} dg_{(k)} &:= dg_1 \cdots dg_k \in \Omega_c^k(G) \\ g_{(k)}^* &:= g_1^* \cdots g_k^*. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 3.4. The (compactly supported) non-commutative DeRham differential forms is the vector space

$$\Omega_c^\bullet(B \rtimes G) := \Gamma^\infty(\wedge^\bullet T_{\mathbb{C}}^* B) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \Omega_c^\bullet(G),$$

equipped with multiplication and involution

$$\begin{aligned} (\omega dg_{(k)} g) \star (\omega' dg'_{(k')} g') :=& (-1)^{k \deg \omega'} \omega \wedge (g_{(k)}^* g^* \omega') dg_{(k)} g dg'_{(k')} g', \\ (\omega dg_1 \cdots dg_k g)^\sharp :=& (-1)^k g^{-1} dg_k^{-1} \cdots dg_1^{-1} \star (-1)^{\frac{\deg\omega(\deg\omega+1)}{2}} \bar{\omega} \\ =& (-1)^{\frac{(\deg\omega+2k)(\deg\omega+1)}{2}} \big((g^*)^{-1}(g_{(k)}^*)^{-1}\bar{\omega}\big) g^{-1} dg_k^{-1} \cdots dg_1^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Let d_B be the DeRham differential on B and define $d : \Omega_c^\bullet(G) \to \Omega_c^{\bullet+1}(G)$,

$$d(dg_1 \cdots dg_k g) := (-1)^k dg_1 \cdots dg_k dg.$$

Then it is easy to see that $d_B + d$ is a graded derivation on $\Omega_c^\bullet(B \rtimes G)$ of degree 1. Hence $\Omega_c^\bullet(B \rtimes G)$ is a graded differential algebra.

We also need ℓ^2 and ℓ^2 versions of $\Omega_c^\bullet(B\rtimes G)$. Let $\|\cdot\|_{C^m}$ be the C^m norm on $\Gamma^\infty(\wedge^\bullet T^*B)$. We may assume that for any differential forms,

$$\|\omega_1\wedge\omega_2\|_{C^m}\leq\|\omega_1\|_{C^m}\|\omega_2\|_{C^m}.$$

Definition 3.5. For $m=0,1,\cdots$, define

$$\Omega^{k,l}_{\ell^2,m}(B\rtimes G):=\Big\{\sum_{dg_{(k)}g}\omega^{dg_{(k)}g}dg_{(k)}g:\omega^{dg_{(k)}g}\in\Gamma^m(\wedge^l T^*B),\ \sum_{dg_{(k)}g}\|\omega^{dg_{(k)}g}\|^2_{C^m}<\infty\Big\},$$

$$\Omega^\bullet_{\ell^2,m}(B\rtimes G):=\bigoplus_{k,l\geq 0}\Omega^{k,l}_{\ell^2,m}(B\rtimes G),$$

$$\Omega^\bullet_{\ell^2}(B\rtimes G):=\bigcap_m\Omega^\bullet_{\ell^2,m}(B\rtimes G).$$

We endow $\Omega^{k,l}_{\ell^2,m}(B\rtimes G)$ with the norm

$$\Big\|\sum_{dg_{(k)}g}\omega^{dg_{(k)}g}dg_{(k)}g\Big\|^2_{C^m}:=\sum_{dg_{(k)}g}\big\|\omega^{dg_{(k)}g}\big\|^2_{C^m};$$

$\Omega^\bullet_{\ell^2,m}(B\rtimes G)$ with the topology induced by degree-wise convergence, and $\Omega^\bullet_{\ell^2}(B\rtimes G)$ with the natural inductive limit topology.

Since the DeRham differential $d_B:\Gamma^m(\wedge^\bullet T^*B)\to\Gamma^{m+1}(\wedge^{\bullet+1}T^*B)$ is a bounded operator, it extends to a bounded operator from $\Omega^\bullet_{\ell^2,m}(B\rtimes G)$ to $\Omega^\bullet_{\ell^2,m-1}(B\rtimes G)$. Hence d_B is a well defined continuous map on $\Omega^\bullet_{\ell^2}(B\rtimes G)$.

Let

$$[\Omega_c^\bullet(B\rtimes G),\Omega_c^\bullet(B\rtimes G)]\subseteq\Omega_c^\bullet(B\rtimes G)\subseteq\Omega^\bullet_{\ell^2}(B\rtimes G)$$

be the subspace spanned by graded commutators and consider

$$\Omega^\bullet_{\ell^2}(B\rtimes G)_{\mathrm{Ab}}:=\Omega^\bullet_{\ell^2}(B\rtimes G)\Big/\overline{[\Omega_c^\bullet(B\rtimes G),\Omega_c^\bullet(B\rtimes G)]},$$

where the over-line denotes the closure. Observe that the bi-grading of $\Omega^\bullet_{\ell^2}(B\rtimes G)$ descends to $\Omega^\bullet_{\ell^2}(B\rtimes G)_{\mathrm{Ab}}$:

$$\Omega^\bullet_{\ell^2}(B\rtimes G)_{\mathrm{Ab}}=\bigoplus_{k,l}\Omega^{k,l}_{\ell^2}(B\rtimes G)\Big/\overline{[\Omega_c^\bullet(B\rtimes G),\Omega_c^\bullet(B\rtimes G)]}.$$

It follows the derivation property that the differential (d_B+d) preserves $[\Omega_c^\bullet(B\rtimes G),\Omega_c^\bullet(B\rtimes G)]$. Therefore d_B+d also descends to $\Omega^\bullet_{\ell^2}(B\rtimes G)_{\mathrm{Ab}}$ with total degree 1.

Following [13], we also consider a further quotient of $\Omega^\bullet_{\ell^2}(B\rtimes G)_{\mathrm{Ab}}$.

Definition 3.6. Define

$$\widetilde{\Omega}^\bullet_{\ell^2}(B\rtimes G)_{\mathrm{Ab}}:=\frac{\Omega^\bullet_{\ell^2}(B\rtimes G)_{\mathrm{Ab}}}{\oplus_k\operatorname{Ker}\big(d_B:\Omega^{k,k}_{\ell^2}(B\rtimes G)_{\mathrm{Ab}}\to\Omega^{k,k+1}_{\ell^2}(B\rtimes G)_{\mathrm{Ab}}\big)\bigoplus\oplus_{k>l}\Omega^{k,l}_{\ell^2}(B\rtimes G)_{\mathrm{Ab}}}.$$

The differential (d_B+d) descends to $\widetilde{\Omega}^\bullet_{\ell^2}(B\rtimes G)_{\mathrm{Ab}}$.

Equivalently, one may regard

$$\widetilde{\Omega}^\bullet_{\ell^2}(B\rtimes G)_{\mathrm{Ab}}=\big(\oplus_k\Omega^{k,k}_{\ell^2}(B\rtimes G)_{\mathrm{Ab}}\big/\operatorname{Ker}d\big)\bigoplus\oplus_{k<l}\Omega^{k,l}_{\ell^2}(B\rtimes G)_{\mathrm{Ab}},$$

by defining the differential on the $\oplus_k\Omega^{k,k}_{\ell^2}(B\rtimes G)_{\mathrm{Ab}}\big/\operatorname{Ker}d$ part to be d_B.

We shall denote the cohomologies of $(\Omega^\bullet_\infty(B\rtimes G)_{\mathrm{Ab}}, d_B+d)$ and $(\widetilde{\Omega}^\bullet_{\ell^2}(B\rtimes G)_{\mathrm{Ab}}, d_B+d)$ by

$$\mathbf{H}^\bullet(\Omega^\bullet_{\ell^2}(B\rtimes G)_{\mathrm{Ab}}) \text{ and } \mathbf{H}^\bullet(\widetilde{\Omega}^\bullet_{\ell^2}(B\rtimes G)_{\mathrm{Ab}}) \tag{17}$$

respectively.

Remark 3.7. In this paper, we will construct the torsion form and prove the transgression formula in $\widetilde{\Omega}^\bullet_{\ell^2}(B\rtimes G)_{\mathrm{Ab}}$. Note that in [7], the authors consider the smooth subalgebra of super-exponential decay (with respect to the length function defined by some generators), and prove that the trace of the heat kernel lies in that space. Thus their result is stronger than ours. However we need to consider the $t\to\infty$ behavior of the heat kernel.

3.2. **The vector representation.** Let $E\to B$ be a (possibly infinite dimensional) contravariant vector bundle.

Definition 3.8. The vector representation ν is the left action of $\mathcal{C}^*_c(B\rtimes G)$ on $\Gamma^\infty(E)$ defined by

$$\nu(fg)s := f(g^*s),\quad \forall\, fg\in\mathcal{C}^\star_c(B\rtimes G), s\in\Gamma^\infty(E).$$

The vector representation extends naturally to a left action of $\Omega^\bullet_c(B\rtimes G)$ on $\Omega^\bullet_c(B\rtimes G)\otimes_{\mathcal{C}^*_c(B\rtimes G)}\Gamma^\infty(E)$. Here, we write down the action explicitly. Denote

$$\Omega^k_e(E\rtimes G) := \mathrm{Span}\{dg_1\cdots dg_k\}_{g_1,\cdots g_k\in G\setminus\{e\}}\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\Gamma^\infty(\wedge^\bullet T^*B\otimes E).$$

Observe that

$$\omega dg_1\cdots dg_k g = (-1)^{k\deg\omega}dg_1\cdots dg_k\star((g_k^{-1}\cdots g_1^{-1})^*\omega)g.$$

Hence $\Omega^k_e(E\rtimes G)$ is isomorphic to $\Omega^\bullet_c(B\rtimes G)\otimes_{\mathcal{C}^*_c(B\rtimes G)}\Gamma^\infty(E)$. Moreover the action is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\nu\Big(\sum_{dg_{(k)}g}\omega^{dg_{(k)}g}dg_{(k)}g\Big)\Big(\sum_{dg'_{(k')}}dg'_{(k')}\otimes u^{dg'_{(k')}}\Big)\\
&\quad=\sum_{dg_{(k)}g}\sum_{dg'_{(k')}}\Big((-1)^{(k+k')\deg\omega'}\big(dg_{(k)}d(gg'_1)dg'_2\cdots dg'_{k'}\\
&\qquad+\sum_{1\le i\le k'-1}(-1)^i dg_{(k)}dgdg'_1\cdots d(g'_ig'_{i+1})\cdots dg'_{k'}\big)\\
&\qquad\qquad\otimes(\pi^*(g^*_{(k)}g^*(g'_{(k')})^*)^{-1}\omega^{dg_{(k)}g})u^{dg'_{(k')}}\\
&\qquad+(-1)^{(k+k')\deg\omega'+k'}dg_{(k)}dgdg'_1\cdots dg'_{k'-1}\\
&\qquad\qquad\otimes(\pi^*(g^*_{(k)}g^*(g'_1\cdots g'_{k'-1})^*)^{-1}\omega^{dg_{(k)}g})g^*_{k'}u^{dg'_{(k')}}\Big).
\end{aligned}\tag{18}$$

We specialize to the case of the Bismut bundle $E_\flat\to B$. We define an ℓ^∞ version of $\Omega^k_e(E_\flat\rtimes G)$:

Definition 3.9. Define

$$\Omega^{k,l}_{\ell^\infty,m}(E_\flat\rtimes G) := \Big\{\sum_{dg_{(k)}}dg_{(k)}u^{dg_{(k)}} : u^{dg_{(k)}}\in\mathcal{W}^m(\wedge^l T^*M\otimes E), \sup_{dg_{(k)}}\|u^{dg_{(k)}}\|_{\mathcal{W}_m}<\infty\Big\},$$

$$\Omega^\bullet_{\ell^\infty}(E_\flat \rtimes G) := \bigcap_{m=0} \Omega^\bullet_{\ell^\infty,m}(E_\flat \rtimes G).$$

Clearly by extending the vector representation $\Omega^\bullet_{\ell^\infty}(E_\flat \rtimes G)$ becomes a $\Omega^\bullet_c(B \rtimes G)$ module.

3.3. $\Omega^\bullet_c(B \rtimes G)$**-linear maps.** In this section, let $E_\flat \to B$ be the Bismut bundle, induced from the fiber bundle $M \to B$ and vector bundle $E \to M$, with compatible G-action, as described in Section 2.1.

Definition 3.10. A $\mathbb{C}$-linear map $K : \Omega^\bullet_e(E_\flat \rtimes G) \to \Omega^\bullet_{\ell^\infty}(E_\flat \rtimes G)$ is said to be $\Omega^\bullet_c(B \rtimes G)$-linear if for any $f \in C^*_c(B \rtimes G)$, $s \in \Omega^\bullet_e(E_\flat \rtimes G)$,

$$\nu(f)(Ks) = K(\nu(f)s).$$

We begin with writing down some necessary conditions for a $\Omega^\bullet_c(B \rtimes G)$-linear map K. We may assume K is of the form

$$Ks = \sum_{g_{(k)}} dg_{(k)} \otimes (g^*_{(k)})^{-1}(K^{g_{(k)}} s), \tag{19}$$

where $K^{g_{(k)}}$ are $\mathbb{C}$-linear maps. For the moment we regard K and Ks as formal sums. Then one has for any $f \in C^\infty(B)$

$$\nu(fe)(Ks) = \sum_{g_{(k)}} dg_{(k)} \otimes ((g^*_{(k)})^{-1}\pi^* f)\big((g^*_{(k)})^{-1}(K^{g_{(k)}} s)\big).$$

Therefore $\Omega^\bullet_c(B \rtimes G)$-linearity implies $K^{g_{(k)}}$ are fiber-wise operators.

Comparing $\nu(g')Ks$ with $K(\nu(g')s)$ for arbitrary $g' \in G$, using Equation (18), one finds

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(g')(Ks) =& dg' \sum_{g_{(k)}} \Big((-1)^k dg_1 \cdots dg_{k-1} \otimes (g_1 \cdots g_{k-1})^{-1})^* K^{g_{(k)}} s \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (-1)^i dg_1 \cdots d(g_{i-1}g_i) \cdots dg_k \otimes (g^{-1}_{(k)})^* K^{g_{(k)}} s \Big) \\ &+ \sum_{g_{(k)}} d(g'g_1) dg_2 \cdots dg_k \otimes (g^*_{(k)})^{-1} K^{g_{(k)}} s, \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

$$K(\nu(g')(s)) = \sum_{g_{(k)}} dg_{(k)} \otimes (g^{-1}_{(k)})^* K^{g_{(k)}}((g')^* s). \tag{21}$$

Comparing terms in (20) and (21) not beginning with dg', we get

$$(g^{-1}_{(k)})^*(g')^* K^{((g')^{-1}g_1, g_2, \cdots, g_k)} s = (g^{-1}_{(k)})^* K^{g_{(k)}}((g')^* s).$$

It follows that

$$K^{(g_1, g_2, \cdots g_k)} = g_1^* \tilde{K}^{(g_2, \cdots, g_k)}, \tag{22}$$

for some (fiber-wise) maps $\tilde{K}^{(g_2, \cdots, g_k)}$.

The upshot of Equation (22) is that it is necessary to consider infinite sums. Here we consider the simplest example where Equation (19) makes sense.

Example 3.11. Suppose that $\tilde{K}^{(g_2,\cdots,g_k)}$ in Equation (22) are compactly supported tensors, and such that only finitely many $\tilde{K}^{(g_2,\cdots,g_k)}$ differ from zero. Then for any $s \in \Gamma_c^\infty(E)$ there are at most finitely many $g_1 \in G$ such that $(g_1^* \tilde{K}^{(g_2,\cdots,g_k)})s \neq 0$. In other words, K is a well defined map from $\Gamma_c^\infty(E)$ to itself. It is clear that K furthermore extends to $\Omega^\bullet_{\ell^\infty}(E_\flat \rtimes G)$.

Specializing to the case $k = 1$. Comparing the dg' term in (20) and (21) and using Equation (22), one gets

$$\sum_{g_1 \in G} K^{g_1} s = \sum_{g_1 \in G} (g_1^* \tilde{K}) s = 0, \quad \forall\, s. \tag{23}$$

Note that one gets the same equation for all g'. Thus a concrete example for a $\Omega^\bullet_c(B \rtimes G)$ is given by $\tilde{K} = d\chi$, where $\chi \in C_c^\infty(G)$ is defined in Equation (7).

Suppose that $K = \sum_{g_{(k)}} dg_{(k)} \otimes (g^*_{(k)})^{-1} K^{g_{(k)}}$ and $K' = \sum_{g'_{(k')}} dg'_{(k)} \otimes (g'^*_{(k)})^{-1} K'^{g'_{(k')}} : \Omega^\bullet_{\ell^\infty}(E_\flat \rtimes G) \to \Omega^\bullet_{\ell^\infty}(E_\flat \rtimes G)$. Then the composition is well defined. It is explicitly given by

$$(K \star K')s := \sum_{g_{(k)}, g'_{(k')}} dg'_{(k')} dg_{(k)} \otimes (g^*_{(k)} g'^*_{(k')})^{-1} ((g'^*_{(k')} K^{g_{(k)}}) K'^{g'_{(k')}} s).$$

Remark 3.12. In this paper, we will mainly consider the sub-algebra of operators generated by $\Psi_\infty^{-\infty}(M \times_B M, E)^G$ and tensors as in Example 3.11.

3.4. **Hilbert-Schmit norms on $\Omega^\bullet_c(B \rtimes G)$-linear operators.** In this section, we expand the (semi)-norm in Definition 2.12.

Definition 3.13. Define

$$\Psi^{-\infty}_{\ell^2,m}(M \times_B M, E)$$

to be the set of $\Omega^\bullet_c(B \rtimes G)$-linear operators of the form

$$K = \sum_{g_{(k)}} dg_{(k)} \otimes (g^*_{(k)})^{-1} K^{g_{(k)}} : \Omega^\bullet_e(E_\flat \rtimes G) \to \Omega^\bullet_{\ell^\infty}(E_\flat \rtimes G),$$

such that $K^{g_{(k)}} \in \Psi_\infty^{-\infty}(M \times_B M, E)$ satisfy the estimate

$$\sum_{g_{(k)}} \|K^{g_{(k)}}\|_{\mathrm{HS}\, m}(e) < \infty.$$

For any $K \in \Psi^{-\infty}_{\ell^2,m}(M \times_B M, E)$ define

$$\|K\|_{\mathrm{HS}\, m} := \sum_{g_{(k)}} \|K^{g_{(k)}}\|_{\mathrm{HS}\, m}(e). \tag{24}$$

Also, we denote

$$\Psi^{-\infty}_{\ell^2}(M \times_B M, E) := \bigcap_{m=0}^{\infty} \Psi^{-\infty}_{\ell^2,m}(M \times_B M, E).$$

Here we derive a formula for $\|\cdot\|_{\mathrm{HS}\, m}$. Write $K^{(g_1,g_2,\cdots g_k)} = g_1^* \tilde{K}^{(g_2,\cdots,g_k)}$. Then

$$\|K\|^2_{\mathrm{HS}\, m} = \sum_{g_{(k)}} \|g_1^* \tilde{K}^{(g_2,\cdots,g_k)}\|^2_{\mathrm{HS}\, m}(e)$$

$$= \sum_{i+j+k\le m} \sum_{g_{(k)}} \Big(\int_B \int_{Z_x} \chi(x,z) \int_{Z_x} \big|(\dot\nabla^{\hat E_\flat})^i (\dot\partial^{\mathbf{s}})^j (\dot\partial^{\mathbf{t}})^k (g_1^* \tilde K^{(g_2,\cdots,g_k)})\big|^2(x,y,z)$$

$$\mu_x(y)\mu_x(z)\mu_B(x)\Big) \tag{25}$$

$$= \sum_{i+j+k\le m} \sum_{g_2,\cdots g_k} \Big(\int_B \int_{Z_x} \int_{Z_x} \big|(\dot\nabla^{\hat E_\flat})^i (\dot\partial^{\mathbf{s}})^j (\dot\partial^{\mathbf{t}})^k (\tilde K^{(g_2,\cdots,g_k)})\big|^2(x,y,z)$$

$$\mu_x(y)\mu_x(z)\mu_B(x)\Big).$$

Clearly $\|\cdot\|_{\mathrm{HS}\,m}$ is positive definite, therefore it defines a norm on $\Psi^{-\infty}_{\ell^2,m}(M\times_B M,E)$.

Next we generalize Corollary 2.18 to $\Psi^{-\infty}_{\ell^2,m}(M\times_B M,E)$.

Theorem 3.14. *For any smooth, bounded G invariant operator A, and $K\in\Psi^{-\infty}_{\ell^2,m}(M\times_B M,E)$,*

$$A\star K, K\star A\in \Psi^{-\infty}_{\ell^2,m}(M\times_B M,E).$$

Moreover, there are constants $C_{m,l}>0$ such that

$$\|A\star K\|_{\mathrm{HS}\,m} \le \big(\sum_{0\le l\le m} C_{m,l}\|A\|_{\mathrm{op}\,l}\big)\|K\|_{\mathrm{HS}\,m},$$
$$\|K\star A\|_{\mathrm{HS}\,m} \le \big(\sum_{0\le l\le m} C_{m,l}\|A\|_{\mathrm{op}\,l}\big)\|K\|_{\mathrm{HS}\,m}.$$

Proof. Since A is G-invariant, one has

$$A\star K = \sum_{g_{(k)}} dg_{(k)}\otimes (g_{(k)}^*)^{-1}(AK^{g_{(k)}}).$$

The first inequality follows immediately from Corollary 2.18.

As for the second inequality, we use Equation (25) to get

$$\sum_{g_{(k)}} \big\|g_1^*(\tilde K^{(g_2,\cdots,g_k)}A)\big\|^2_{\mathrm{HS}\,m}(e)$$
$$= \sum_{i+j+k\le m} \sum_{g_2,\cdots g_k} \Big(\int_B \int_{Z_x} \int_{Z_x} \big|(\dot\nabla^{\hat E_\flat})^i (\dot\partial^{\mathbf{s}})^j (\dot\partial^{\mathbf{t}})^k (\tilde K^{(g_2,\cdots,g_k)}A)\big|^2(x,y,z)\mu_x(y)\mu_x(z)\mu_B(x)\Big),$$

and observe that one can interchange the roles of y and z in the last line. □

Similar to Theorem 3.14, we have

Lemma 3.15. *For any $F=\sum_{dg_{(k)}} dg_{(k)}g_1^*\tilde F^{(g_2,\cdots,g_k)}$ as in Example 3.11, $K'=\sum_{g'_{(k')}} dg'_{(k)}\otimes (g'^*_{(k)})^{-1}K'^{g'_{(k')}}\in\Psi^{-\infty}_{\ell^2,m}(M\times_B M,E)$, then*

$$F\star K, K\star F\in\Psi^{-\infty}_{\ell^2,m}(M\times_B M,E).$$

Moreover there exists $C'_m>0$ (depending only on F) such that

$$\|F\star K'\|_{\mathrm{HS}\,m}\le C'_m\|K'\|_{\mathrm{HS}\,m},$$
$$\|K'\star F\|_{\mathrm{HS}\,m}\le C'_m\|K'\|_{\mathrm{HS}\,m}.$$

Proof. We only prove the first inequality. The second is similar. Since we have

$$F \star K' = \sum_{g_{(k)}, g'_{(k')}} dg'_{(k')} dg_{(k)} \otimes (g^*_{(k)} g'^*_{(k')})^{-1} \big((g'^*_{(k')} F^{g_{(k)}})(g'^*_1 \tilde{K}'^{g'_{(k')}}) \big),$$

$$\|F \star K'\|^2_{\mathrm{HS}\, m} \leq \sum_{g_2, \cdots g_k} \sum_{g'_2, \cdots g'_k} \sum_{g} \sum_{i+j+k \leq m} \int_B \int_{Z_x} \int_{Z_x} \\ \big| (\dot{\nabla}^{\hat{E}_\flat})^i (\dot{\partial}^{\mathbf{s}})^j (\dot{\partial}^{\mathbf{t}})^k ((g^* \tilde{F}^{(g_2, \cdots g_k)}) \tilde{K}^{(g'_2, \cdots, g'_k)}) \big|^2 (x, y, z) \mu_x(y) \mu_x(z) \mu_B(x).$$

The integrand is bounded by

$$g^* \tilde{\chi}(x, y) \|\tilde{F}^{(g_2, \cdots g_k)}\|_{C^m} \big| (\dot{\nabla}^{\hat{E}_\flat})^i (\dot{\partial}^{\mathbf{s}})^j (\dot{\partial}^{\mathbf{t}})^k (\tilde{K}^{(g'_2, \cdots, g'_k)}) \big|^2,$$

for some compactly supported function $\tilde{\chi} \geq 0$, which depends only on the support of $\tilde{F}^{(g_2, \cdots g_k)}$. Therefore $\sum_g g^* \tilde{\chi}$ is bounded. Our inequality then follows from (25). □

3.5. **Trace class operators.**

Definition 3.16. Given any $\Omega^\bullet_c(M \rtimes G)$-linear map $Ks = \sum_{g_{(k)}} dg_{(k)} \otimes (g^*_{(k)})^{-1} (K^{g_{(k)}} s)$, where $K^{g_{(k)}} \in \Psi^{-\infty}(M \times_B M, E)$. We say that K is of trace class if for all m

$$\sum_{g_{(k)}} \Big\| \int_{Z_x} \chi(x, z) \operatorname{tr}(K^{g_{(k)}}(x, z, z)) \mu_x(z) \Big\|^2_{C^m} < \infty. \tag{26}$$

For a trace class operator, we define

$$\operatorname{tr}_\Psi(K) := \mathrm{Ab} \Big(\sum_{g_{(k)}} \int_{Z_x} \chi(x, z) \operatorname{tr}(K^{g_{(k)}}(x, z, z)) \mu_x(z) dg_{(k)} (g_k^{-1} \cdots g_1^{-1}) \Big) \\ \in \Omega^\bullet_{\ell^2}(M \rtimes G)_{\mathrm{Ab}}, \tag{27}$$

where tr is the point-wise trace (c.f. [7, (3.22)]).

Remark 3.17. Using similar arguments as the proof of Lemma 3.19 below, one can show that tr_Ψ does not depend on χ.

If $E^\bullet$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$ graded vector bundle, define the super-trace str_Ψ as in (27) with $\operatorname{tr}(\cdot)$ replaced by the super-trace $\operatorname{str}(\cdot)$.

It is well known that tr_Ψ is indeed a trace.

Lemma 3.18. [7, Proposition 3] *For any $\Omega^\bullet_c(B \rtimes G)$-linear, trace class smoothing operators K_1, K_2, $\operatorname{tr}_\Psi[K_1, K_2] = 0$.*

Also one has the identity:

Lemma 3.19. *(c.f.* [8, Proposition 3]*) Given any G-invariant connection ∇ on $E^\bullet_\flat$, and $\Omega^\bullet_c(B \rtimes G)$-linear smoothing operator $K = \sum_{dg_{(k)}} dg_{(k)} (g^*_{(k)}) K^{dg_{(k)}}$ of trace class,*

$$(d_B + d)(\operatorname{tr}_\Psi(K)) = \operatorname{tr}_\Psi([\nabla + \nabla^G, K]).$$

Proof. For simplicity we only prove the case when $k = 1$, the other cases are similar. It is well know that

$$d_B(\operatorname{tr}_\Psi(K)) = \sum_{dg_1} \int_{Z_x} \chi \operatorname{tr} \big([\nabla, K^{dg_1}](x, z, z) \big) \mu_x(z) dg_1 g_1^{-1}$$

$$+\sum_{dg_1}\int_{Z_x}(d_H\chi)\,\mathrm{tr}\,\big([\nabla,K^{dg_1}](x,z,z)\big)\mu_x(z)dg_1g_1^{-1}.$$

We must prove the second integral vanishes. The operator $[\nabla,K]$ is also $\Omega_c^\bullet(B\rtimes G)$-linear. By (22), we may write $[\nabla,K^{dg_1}]=g_1^*\tilde{\Psi}$ for some smoothing operator $\tilde{\Psi}$. Consider for arbitrary $g\in G$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{Z_x}(g^*\chi)(d_H\chi)\,\mathrm{tr}\,\big(g_1^*\tilde{\Psi}(x,z,z)\big)\mu_x(z)dg_1g_1^{-1}\\
&=g^*\int_{Z_x}\chi((g^{-1})^*d_H\chi)\,\mathrm{tr}\,\big((g^{-1}g_1)^*\tilde{\Psi}(x,z,z)\big)\mu_x(z)dg_1g_1^{-1}\\
&=-\int_{Z_x}\chi((g^{-1})^*d_H\chi)\,\mathrm{tr}\,\big((g^{-1}g_1)^*\tilde{\Psi}(x,z,z)\big)\mu_x(z)g^{-1}dg_1g_1^{-1}g\\
&\quad\ \mathrm{mod}\ [\Omega_c^\bullet(B\rtimes G),\Omega_c^\bullet(B\rtimes G)]\\
&=-\int_{Z_x}\chi((g^{-1})^*d_H\chi)\,\mathrm{tr}\,\big((g^{-1}g_1)^*\tilde{\Psi}(x,z,z)\big)\mu_x(z)\big(d(g^{-1}g_1)g_1^{-1}g-(dg^{-1})g\big)
\end{aligned}$$

Summing over all $g\in G,g_1\in G\setminus\{e\}$ and using (23), it follows that

$$\sum_{dg_1}\int_{Z_x}(d_H\chi)\,\mathrm{tr}\,\big([\nabla,K^{dg_1}](x,z,z)\big)\mu_x(z)dg_1g_1^{-1}=0\in\Omega^\bullet_{\ell^2}(B\rtimes G)_{\mathrm{Ab}}.$$

On the other hand, it is straightforward to compute

$$d(\mathrm{tr}_\Psi(K))=\mathrm{tr}_\Psi([\nabla^G,K]).$$

Hence the lemma. □

To construct examples of trace class operators, one uses the following lemma:

Lemma 3.20. *For any $Fs=\sum_{g_{(k)}}dg_{(k)}\otimes(g_{(k)}^*)^{-1}(F^{g_{(k)}}s)$ as in Example 3.11, $K\in\Psi_\infty^{-\infty}(M\times_B M,E^\bullet)^G$ and $K'=\sum_{g'_{(k')}}dg'_{(k)}\otimes(g'^*_{(k)})^{-1}K'^{g'_{(k')}}\in\Psi^{-\infty}_{\ell^2}(M\times_B M,E)$. Then $K\star F\star K'$ is a trace class operator.*

Proof. We use similar arguments as the proof of [16, Theorem 4.6]. For simplicity we only consider $k=1$. The general cases are similar. Denote by $\tilde{\theta}$ the characteristic function of support of $\tilde{F}$, and write

$$G(x,y,z):=K(x,z,y)((g'_{(k')}g)^*\tilde{F})(x,y)K'^{g'_{(k')}}(x,y,z).$$

Then by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big\|\int_{Z_x}\chi(x,z)\,\mathrm{tr}\,\Big(\int_{Z_x}G(x,y,z)\mu_x(y)\Big)\mu_x(z)\Big\|^2_{\mathcal{L}^2(B)}\\
&\quad\dot{\leq}\|\tilde{F}\|^2_{C^0}\Big(\int_B\int_{Z_x}\chi\int_{Z_x}((g'_{(k')}g)^*\tilde{\theta})\big|K(x,z,y)\big|^2\mu_x(y)\mu_x(z)\mu_B(x)\Big)\\
&\qquad\times\Big(\int_B\int_{Z_x}\chi\int_{Z_x}((g'_{(k')}g)^*\tilde{\theta})\big|K'^{g'_{(k')}}(x,y,z)\big|^2\mu_x(y)\mu_x(z)\mu_B(x)\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

Sum over all g and then $g'_{(k')}$, and using the fact that for each $g'_{(k')}$ fixed, the support of $(g'_{(k')}g)^*\tilde{F}$ is a locally finite cover of M, one gets

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{g'_{(k')}}\sum_{g}\Big\|\int_{Z_x}\chi(x,z)\operatorname{tr}\Big(\int_{Z_x}K(x,z,y)((g'_{(k')}g)^*\tilde{F})(x,y)K'^{g'_{(k')}}(x,y,z)\mu_x(y)\Big)\mu_x(z)\Big\|^2_{\mathcal{L}^2(B)}\\ \dot{\leq}\sum_{g'_{(k')}}\|\tilde{F}\|^2_{C^0}\|K\|^2_{\mathrm{HS}\,0}(e)\|K'^{g'_{(k')}}\|^2_{\mathrm{HS}\,0}(e)\\ =\|\tilde{F}\|^2_{C^0}\|K\|^2_{\mathrm{HS}\,0}\|K'^{g'_{(k')}}\|^2_{\mathrm{HS}\,0}.\end{aligned}$$

We turn to estimate its derivative. Differentiating under the integral sign, one gets

$$\begin{aligned}\Big|\nabla^{\wedge^\bullet T^*B}\Big(\int_{Z_x}\chi(x,z)\operatorname{tr}\Big(\int_{Z_x}G\mu_x(y)\Big)\mu_x(z)\Big)\Big|\\ \leq\int_{Z_x}(L^\flat\chi(x,z))\operatorname{tr}\Big(\int_{Z_x}G\mu_x(y)\Big)\mu_x(z)\\ +\int_{Z_x}\chi(x,z)\Big(\nabla^{(\pi^*\wedge^\bullet TB)_\flat}\operatorname{tr}\Big(\int_{Z_x}G\mu_x(y)\Big)\Big)\mu_x(z)\\ +\int_{Z_x}\chi(x,z)\operatorname{tr}\Big(\int_{Z_x}G\mu_x(y)\Big)(L^\flat\mu_x(z)),\end{aligned}$$

where $L^\flat$ is the $(1,0)$ component of D_B in Definition 2.3 (with E trivial), which is a $C^\infty(B)$ connection. Since $|L^\flat\mu_x(z)|$ equals $|\mu_x(z)|$ multiplied by some bounded function, it follows that

$$\sum_g\Big\|\int_{Z_x}\chi\operatorname{tr}\Big(\int_{Z_x}G\mu_x(y)\Big)(L^\flat\mu_x(z))\Big\|^2_{\mathcal{L}^2(B)}\dot{\leq}\|\tilde{F}\|^2_{C^0}\|K\|^2_{\mathrm{HS}\,0}(e)\|K'^{g'_{(k)}}\|^2_{\mathrm{HS}\,0}(e).$$

Similarly, write $L^\flat\chi(x,z)=\sum_{g'\in G}(g'^*\chi)(x,z)(L^\flat\chi)(x,z)$. The sum is finite because $L^\flat\chi$ is compactly supported. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_g\Big\|\int_{Z_x}(L^\flat\chi(x,z))\operatorname{tr}\Big(\int_{Z_x}G\mu_x(y)\Big)\mu_x(z)\Big\|^2_{\mathcal{L}^2(B)}\\ \leq\sum_{g'\in S}\sum_g\Big\|\int_{Z_x}\chi(x,z)((g'^*)^{-1}L_{X^H}\chi)\operatorname{tr}\Big(\int_{Z_x}(g'^*)^{-1}G\mu_x(y)\Big)\mu_x(z)\Big\|^2_{\mathcal{L}^2(B)}\\ \dot{\leq}\sum_{g'\in S}\|\tilde{F}\|^2_{C^0}\|K\|^2_{\mathrm{HS}\,0}(e)\|K'^{g'_{(k)}}\|^2_{\mathrm{HS}\,0}((g')^{-1}).\end{aligned}$$

Lastly, by the Leibniz rule, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Big|\nabla^{(\pi^*\wedge^\bullet TB)_\flat}\operatorname{tr}\Big(\int_{Z_x}G\mu_x(y)\Big)\Big|^2\\ \dot{\leq}\int_{Z_x}(|\dot{\nabla}^{\hat{E}_\flat}K|+|K|)^2(|\nabla^{\hat{E}}g^*F|+|g^*F|)^2(|\dot{\nabla}^{\hat{E}_\flat}K'|+|K'|)^2\mu_x(y).\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\sum_g\Big\|\int_{Z_x}\chi(x,z)\Big(\nabla^{(\pi^*\wedge^\bullet TB)_\flat}\operatorname{tr}\Big(\int_{Z_x}G\mu_x(y)\Big)\Big)\mu_x(z)\Big\|^2_{\mathcal{L}^2(B)}$$

$$\dot{\leq} \sum_{g' \in S} \|\tilde{F}\|^2_{C^1} \|K\|^2_{\mathrm{HS}\,1}(e) \|K'^{g'_{(k)}}\|^2_{\mathrm{HS}\,1}((g')^{-1}).$$

Adding these estimates together, we have proven that

$$\sum_{g} \Big\| \nabla^{\wedge^\bullet T^*B} \Big(\int_{Z_x} \chi(x,z) \operatorname{tr} \Big(\int_{Z_x} G\mu_x(y) \Big) \mu_x(z) \Big) \Big\|^2_{\mathcal{L}^2(B)} \tag{28}$$
$$\dot{\leq} \sum_{g' \in S} \|\tilde{F}\|^2_{C^1} \|K\|^2_{\mathrm{HS}\,1}(e) \|K'^{g'_{(k')}}\|^2_{\mathrm{HS}\,1}((g')^{-1})$$

$$\sum_{g_{(k)}} \sum_{g} \Big\| \int_{Z_x} \chi(x,z) \operatorname{tr} \big((K((g'_{(k)})^* F^g) K'^{g'_{(k)}})(x,z,z) \big) \mu_x(z) \Big\|^2_1 \tag{29}$$
$$\dot{\leq} \|\tilde{F}\|^2_{C^1} \|K\|^2_{\mathrm{HS}\,1} \|K'\|^2_{\mathrm{HS}\,1}.$$

Clearly, the same arguments for Equation (28) can be repeated for all derivatives, and one gets for any m,

$$\sum_{g_{(k)}} \sum_{g} \Big\| \int_{Z_x} \chi(x,z) \operatorname{tr} \big((K((g'_{(k)})^* F^g) K'^{g'_{(k)}})(x,z,z) \big) \mu_x(z) \Big\|^2_m \tag{30}$$
$$\dot{\leq} \|\tilde{F}\|^2_{C^m} \|K\|^2_{\mathrm{HS}\,m} \|K'\|^2_{\mathrm{HS}\,m},$$

for some finite sets S_m. By the Sobolev embedding theorem (for Sobolev spaces on the compact manifold B), it follows that for any m', there exists m such that

$$\sum_{g_{(k)}} \sum_{g} \Big\| \int_{Z_x} \chi(x,z) \operatorname{tr} \big((K((g'_{(k)})^* F^g) K'^{g'_{(k)}})(x,z,z) \big) \mu_x(z) \Big\|^2_{C^{m'}} \tag{31}$$
$$\dot{\leq} \|\tilde{F}\|^2_{C^m} \|K\|^2_{\mathrm{HS}\,m} \|K'\|^2_{\mathrm{HS}\,m}.$$

Hence $K \star F \star K'$ satisfies (26). □

3.6. **The Bismut super-connection over $B \rtimes G$.** In this section, we generalize the Bismut super-connection to the convolution algebra. Let $E \to M$ be a flat G-contravariant vector bundle with a flat connection ∇^E. One regards $E_\flat$ as a contravariant vector bundle over B. Hence one has a $\mathcal{C}^*(B \rtimes G)$ module $\Gamma^\infty_c(E_\flat)$ by Definition 3.8.

Definition 3.21. Let $\chi \in C^\infty_c(M)$ be as in Equation (7). Define the operator $\nabla^G : \Gamma^\infty_c(E_\flat) \to \Omega^1_e(E_\flat \rtimes G)$ by the formula

$$\nabla^G u := \sum_{g \in G} dg \otimes \chi((g^{-1})^* u). \tag{32}$$

Lemma 3.22. *The operator $D_B + \nabla^G$ is a connection of the $\mathcal{C}^\infty(B \rtimes G)$ module $\Gamma^\infty(E_\flat)$.*

Proof. It suffices to check $\nabla^G(\nu(fg)u) = \nu(fg)(\nabla^G u) + \nu(fdg)u$ for any $fg \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(B \rtimes G), u \in \Gamma^\infty(E_\flat)$. Indeed one has

$$\nabla^G(\nu(fg)u) = \sum_{g_1 \in G} dg_1 \otimes \chi((g_1^{-1})^* f)((g_1^{-1} g)^* u),$$

$$\nu(fg)(\nabla^G u) = -\sum_{g_1 \in G} dg \otimes ((g^{-1})^* f)(g_1^* \chi) u + \sum_{g_1 \in G} d(gg_1) \otimes (((gg_1)^{-1})^* f) \chi((g_1^{-1})^* u)$$

$$= -\nu(fdg)u + \nabla^G(\nu(fg)u). \qquad \square$$

The G-invariant inner product $\langle\,,\rangle_E$ on $E_\flat$ defined in Equation (3) induces a $\mathcal{C}^*(B \rtimes G)$ valued inner product on $\Gamma^\infty(E_\flat)$ by the formula

$$\langle s_1, s_2\rangle_{E_\flat \rtimes G}(x, g) := \langle s_1, (g^* s_2)\rangle_{E_\flat}(x). \tag{33}$$

Note that for any $s_1, s_2 \in \Gamma_c^\infty(E_\flat)$, $\langle s_1, (g^* s_2)\rangle_{E_\flat}(x) = 0$ for all but finitely many g. This new inner product $\langle\,,\rangle_{E_\flat \rtimes G}$ defines a pre-Hilbert $C_c^*(B \rtimes G)$ module structure. More precisely:

Lemma 3.23. *For any $f \in C_c^*(B \rtimes G), s_1, s_2 \in \Gamma_c^\infty(E_\flat)$,*

$$\begin{aligned}\langle s_2, s_1\rangle_{E_\flat \rtimes G} =& (\langle s_1, s_2\rangle_{E_\flat \rtimes G})^\sharp,\\ f \star \langle s_1, s_2\rangle_{E_\flat \rtimes G} =& \langle \nu(f)(s_1), s_2\rangle_{E_\flat \rtimes G}.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Equation (33) is equivalent to $\langle s_1, s_2\rangle = \sum_{g_1 \in G} \langle s_1, (g_1^* s_2)\rangle_{E_\flat} g_1$. Hence one verifies the first formula:

$$(\langle s_1, s_2\rangle_{E_\flat \rtimes G})^\sharp = \sum_{g_1 \in G} (g_1^{-1})^* \langle (g_1^* s_2), s_1\rangle_{E_\flat} g_1^{-1} = \langle s_2, s_1\rangle_{E_\flat \rtimes G}.$$

As for the second equality, it suffices to verify for any $f^{g_0} g_0 \in \mathcal{C}_c^*(M \rtimes G)$,

$$(f^{g_0} g_0) \star \langle s_1, s_2\rangle_{E_\flat \rtimes G} = \sum_{g_1 \in G} f^{g_0} g_0^* (\langle s_1, g_1^* s_2\rangle_{E_\flat}) g_0 g_1 = \sum_{g_1 \in G} \langle f^{g_0}(g_0^* s_1), (g_0 g_1)^* s_2\rangle_{E_\flat} g_0 g_1.$$

Relabeling $g_2 = g_0 g_1$ yields the desired result. $\square$

One extends naturally the inner product $\langle\,,\rangle_{E_\flat \rtimes G}$ to $\Omega_e(E_\flat \rtimes G)$, and defines the notion of adjoint connection by Equation (4) (with $\langle\,,\rangle_{E_\flat \rtimes G}$ in place of $\langle\,,\rangle_{E_\flat}$).

Lemma 3.24. *For any sections $u_1, u_2 \in \Gamma_c^\infty(E_\flat^\bullet)$, we have*

$$(d_B + d)\langle u_1, u_2\rangle = \langle (D_B + \nabla^G) u_1, u_2\rangle - \langle u_1, (D_B' + \nabla^G) u_2\rangle. \tag{34}$$

In other words, the adjoint connection of $D_B + \nabla^G$ with respect to the $\mathcal{C}^*(B \rtimes G)$ valued inner product $\langle\,,\rangle_{E_\flat \rtimes G}$ is $D_B' + \nabla^G$.

Proof. Since the DeRham differential d_B commutes with pull-back, it suffices to check

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \nabla^G u_1, u_2\rangle =& \sum_{g_0, g_1 \in G} dg_0 \star \langle \chi (g_0^{-1})^* u_1, g_1^* u_2\rangle_{E_\flat} g_1\\ =& \sum_{g_0, g_1 \in G} \langle (g_0^* \chi) u_1, (g_0 g_1)^* u_2\rangle_{E_\flat} (dg_0) g_1,\\ \langle u_1, \nabla^G u_2\rangle =& -\sum_{g_1 \in G} \langle u_1, \chi (g_1^{-1})^* u_2\rangle_{E_\flat \rtimes G} \star dg_1^{-1}\\ =& -\sum_{g_0, g_1 \in G} \langle u_1, g_0^* (\chi (g_1^{-1})^* u_2)\rangle_{E_\flat} (g_0 dg_1^{-1}),\\ \langle \nabla^G u_1, u_2\rangle - \langle u_1, \nabla^G u_2\rangle =& \sum_{g_0, g_1 \in G} \langle (g_0^* \chi) u_1, (g_0 g_1)^* u_2\rangle_{E_\flat} d(g_0 g_1) = d\langle u_1, u_2\rangle_{E_\flat \rtimes G}. \square\end{aligned}$$

Summarizing the results in this section, we define:

Definition 3.25. The (non-commutative) Bismut super-connection on the Bismut bundle is the connection

$$D := D_B + \nabla^G;$$

its adjoint connection is

$$D' := D'_B + \nabla^G.$$

3.7. **The bundle** $\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta)$**.** Define the (fiber-wise) Laplacian operator

$$\Delta := \big(d_V^{\nabla^E} + \big(d_V^{\nabla^E}\big)^*\big)^2.$$

Since Δ is fiber-wise, its kernel, $\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta)$ is a module over $C^\infty(B)$. One may also regard $\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta)$ as a fiber bundle with typical fiber $\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta|_{Z_x})$. Since Δ is G-invariant, $\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta)$ is a contravariant vector bundle.

Denote also respectively by $\mathrm{Rg}(d_V^{\nabla^E})$ and $\mathrm{Rg}((d_V^{\nabla^E})^*)$ the image of (the adjoint extension of) $d_V^{\nabla^E}$ and $(d_V^{\nabla^E})^*$. Recall [12] that one has Hodge decomposition

$$\mathcal{W}_m(E) = \mathrm{Ker}(\Delta) \oplus \overline{\mathcal{W}_m(E) \cap \mathrm{Rg}(d_V^{\nabla^E})} \oplus \overline{\mathcal{W}_m(E) \cap \mathrm{Rg}((d_V^{\nabla^E})^*)}$$

for all Sobolev spaces. Let Π_0, Π_d, Π_{d^*} be the projections onto the respective components. Then Π_0, Π_d, Π_{d^*} are all smooth, bounded, fiber-wise operators.

The Bismut super-connection D_B induce a connection on $\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta)$. Namely, it is straightforward to verify that

$$\Pi_0 L^{E_\flat^\bullet} \Pi_0 \text{ and } \Pi_0 (L^{E_\flat^\bullet})' \Pi_0$$

are both flat connections on $\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta)$ as a $C^\infty(B)$ module (c.f. [3, Section 3(f)]). Hence by the same arguments as above,

$$\nabla^{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta)}(r) := \Pi_0 \big(r L^{E_\flat^\bullet} + (1-r)(L^{E_\flat^\bullet})' + \nabla^G\big)\Pi_0 \tag{35}$$

is a connection on $\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta)$ as a $C_c^*(B \rtimes G)$ module.

We compute the curvature of $\nabla^{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta)}(r)$. Define

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega :=& \frac{1}{2}\big((L^{E_\flat^\bullet})' - L^{E_\flat^\bullet}\big)\\ L(r) :=& r L^{E_\flat^\bullet} + (1-r)(L^{E_\flat^\bullet})'.\end{aligned}$$

Since $D_B^2 = (D'_B)^2 = 0$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} L^{E_\flat^\bullet} d_V^{\nabla^E} + d_V^{\nabla^E} L^{E_\flat^\bullet} =& 0,\\ \big(L^{E_\flat^\bullet}\big)'(d_V^{\nabla^E})^* + (d_V^{\nabla^E})^*\big(L^{E_\flat^\bullet}\big)' =& 0,\end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

which imply $\Pi_0 L^{E_\flat^\bullet} \Pi_d = \Pi_{d^*} L^{E_\flat^\bullet} \Pi_0 = \Pi_0 (L^{E_\flat^\bullet})' \Pi_{d^*} = \Pi_d (L^{E_\flat^\bullet})' \Pi_0 = 0$. Direct computation yields

$$\begin{aligned}(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta)}(r))^2 =& (1-r)\Pi_0 (L^{E_\flat^\bullet})' \Pi_0 (L^{E_\flat^\bullet})' \Pi_0 - 4r(1-r)\Pi_0 \Omega \Pi_0 \Omega \Pi_0 + \Pi_0 [L(r), \nabla^G] \Pi_0\\ &+ 2\Pi_0 (r\Omega \Pi_{d^*} - (1-r)\Omega \Pi_d)\nabla^G \Pi_0\\ &+ 2\Pi_0 \nabla^G (r\Pi_d \Omega - (1-r)\Pi_{d^*}\Omega)\Pi_0 + \Pi_0 \nabla^G \Pi_0 \nabla^G \Pi_0.\end{aligned}$$

Definition 3.26. Let

$$e^{-(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta)}(r))^2} := \Pi_0 + \sum_{i=1} \frac{1}{i!}\big(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta)}(r)\big)^{2i}.$$

The Chern-Simon form for the $\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta)$ bundle is defined to be

$$\mathrm{CS}^{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta)}\left(L^{E^\bullet_\flat}, \left(L^{E^\bullet_\flat}\right)'\right) := -\int_0^1 \mathrm{str}_\Psi\left(2\Pi_0\Omega\Pi_0 e^{-(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta)}(r))^2}\right)dr,$$

which lies in $\Omega^\bullet_{\ell^2}(B \rtimes G)_{\mathrm{Ab}}$ if $\dim Z$ is odd, and $\widetilde{\Omega}^\bullet_{\ell^2}(B \rtimes G)_{\mathrm{Ab}}$ if $\dim Z$ is even.

4. Large time limit of the heat kernel

Denote by N and N_Ω respectively the grading operator on $E^\bullet := E \otimes \wedge^\bullet V'$ and the total horizontal grading on $\Omega^\bullet_e(E^\bullet \rtimes G)$. Let D_t be the rescaled Bismut superconnection

$$D_t := t^{\frac{1}{2}} t^{-\frac{N_\Omega}{2}} D t^{\frac{N_\Omega}{2}} = t^{\frac{1}{2}} d_V^{\nabla^E} + L^{E^\bullet_\flat} + \nabla^G + t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\iota_\Theta.$$

Its adjoint connection is

$$D_t' = t^{\frac{1}{2}}(d_V^{\nabla^E})^* + (L^{E^\bullet_\flat})' + \nabla^G - t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\Theta\wedge .$$

Define

$$D_t(r) := rD_t + (1-r)D_t', \quad 0 \le r \le 1.$$

Also, for convenience, we will denote

$$D(r) := r d_V^{\nabla^E} + (1-r)(d_V^{\nabla^E})^*.$$

Note that $D(r)^2 = r(1-r)\Delta$.

By Duhamel's expansion, we have

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-D_t(r)^2} &:= e^{-r(1-r)t\Delta} \\ &+ \sum_{n=1}^\infty \int_{(s_0,\cdots,s_k)\in\Sigma^n} e^{-s_0 r(1-r)t\Delta} \star (D_t(r)^2 - r(1-r)t\Delta) \star e^{-s_1 r(1-r)t\Delta} \\ &\qquad \star \cdots \star (D_t(r)^2 - r(1-r)t\Delta) \star e^{-s_n r(1-r)t\Delta} d\Sigma^n, \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

where $\Sigma^n := \{(s_0, s_1 \cdots, s_n) \in [0,1]^{n+1} : s_0 + \cdots + s_n = 1\}$ and $e^{-r(1-r)t\Delta}$ is the usual fiber-wise heat operator. Note that the coefficient of each $dg_{(k)}$ on the right hand side of (37) is determined by a finite number of terms.

Remark 4.1. Note that we regard the heat operator and the projection operator Π_0 as kernels, as described in Example 2.15.

4.1. The Novikov-Shubin invariant.

Definition 4.2. We say that $M \to B$ has positive Novikov-Shubin invariant if there exist $\gamma > 0$ and $C_0 > 0$ such that for sufficiently large t,

$$\sup_{x\in B}\left\{\int_{Z_x}\chi(x,z)\int_{Z_x}|e^{-t\Delta} - \Pi_0|^2\mu_x(y)\mu_x(z)\right\} \le C_0 t^{-\gamma}.$$

Remark 4.3. Since $e^{-\frac{t}{2}\Delta} - \Pi_0$ is non-negative, selfadjoint and $(e^{-\frac{t}{2}\Delta} - \Pi_0)^2 = e^{-t\Delta} - \Pi_0$, one has

$$\sup_{x\in B}\left\{\int_{Z_x}\chi(x,z)\int_{Z_x}|e^{-\frac{t}{2}\Delta} - \Pi_0|^2\mu_x(y)\mu_x(z)\right\} = \|e^{-t\Delta} - \Pi_0\|_\tau.$$

Hence our definition of having positive Novikov-Shubin is equivalent to that of [1]. Our argument here is similar to the proof of [4, Theorem 7.7].

In this paper, we will always assume $M \to B$ has positive Novikov-Shubin invariant. From this assumption, it follows by integration over B that

$$\|e^{-t\Delta} - \Pi_0\|_{\mathrm{HS}\,0} < Ct^{-\gamma}, \tag{38}$$

as $t \to \infty$.

4.2. **A degree reduction trick.** Rearranging Equation (36), one has

$$L^{E^\bullet_\flat}(d_V^{\nabla^E})^* + (d_V^{\nabla^E})^* L^{E^\bullet_\flat} = -2\Omega(d_V^{\nabla^E})^* - 2(d_V^{\nabla^E})^*\Omega. \tag{39}$$

Moreover, observe that Ω is a tensor (see [3, Proposition 3.7] and [12] for explicit formulas for $L^{E^\bullet_\flat}$ and Ω) and $(d_V^{\nabla^E}) + (d_V^{\nabla^E})^* + L^{E^\bullet_\flat} + (L^{E^\bullet_\flat})^*$ is an elliptic operator.

As a first application of Equation (39), recall the main result of [16, Section 3]:

Lemma 4.4. *Suppose the Novikov-Shubin invariant is positive. The heat operator $e^{-t\Delta}$ is G-invariant, moreover,*

$$\left\|(e^{-t\Delta} - \Pi_0)(x, y, z)\right\|_{\mathrm{HS}\,m}(g) = O(t^{-\gamma}),$$

for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ as $t \to \infty$.

Recall that in [1], the main observation is that $\frac{1}{2}(D_B + D'_B)$ is a flat connection, which implies

$$(D_B + D'_B)^2 = -(D_B - D'_B)^2.$$

Since the r.h.s. is a fiber-wise operator, one can estimate the size of the rescaled heat kernel, using known results on fiber-wise estimates. Here $D(r)$ is *not* flat. Instead we have the following important lemma, which is another consequence of Equation (39):

Lemma 4.5. *One has the identity:*

$$D_t(r)^2 = tD(r)^2 + t^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Omega_1 D(r) + D(r)\Omega_2) + \Omega_0, \tag{40}$$

where we denoted

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_0 :=& -4r(1-r)\Omega^2 + [L(r), t^{-\frac{1}{2}}(r\iota_\Theta - (1-r)\Theta\wedge)] \\
&- r(d_V^{\nabla^E}\iota_\Theta + \iota_\Theta d_V^{\nabla^E}) + (1-r)((d_V^{\nabla^E})^*\Theta\wedge + \Theta\wedge(d_V^{\nabla^E})^*) \\
&+ t^{-1}(r\iota_\Theta - (1-r)\Theta\wedge)^2 + [L(r), \nabla^G] + (\nabla^G)^2 \\
\Omega_1 :=& 2\Omega((1-r)\Pi_d - r\Pi_{d^*}) + \nabla^G + t^{-\frac{1}{2}}(r\iota_\Theta - (1-r)\Theta\wedge) \\
\Omega_2 :=& 2((1-r)\Pi_{d^*} - r\Pi_d)\Omega + \nabla^G + t^{-\frac{1}{2}}(r\iota_\Theta - (1-r)\Theta\wedge).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. One directly computes

$$\begin{aligned}
D_t(r)^2 =& tD(r)^2 + t^{\frac{1}{2}}[D(r), L(r) + \nabla^G + t^{-\frac{1}{2}}(r\iota_\Theta - (1-r)\Theta\wedge)] \\
&+ (L(r) + \nabla^G + t^{-\frac{1}{2}}(r\iota_\Theta - (1-r)\Theta\wedge))^2.
\end{aligned}$$

By Equation (39), one has

$$[D(r), L(r)] = 2\Omega((1-r)\Pi_d - r\Pi_{d^*})D(r) + 2D(r)((1-r)\Pi_{d^*} - r\Pi_d)\Omega,$$

and since both D_B and D'_B are flat,

$$(L(r))^2 = -r(d_V^{\nabla^E}\iota_\Theta + \iota_\Theta d_V^{\nabla^E}) + (1-r)((d_V^{\nabla^E})^*\Theta\wedge + \Theta\wedge(d_V^{\nabla^E})^*) - 4r(1-r)\Omega^2.$$

The lemma clearly follows by combining these equations. □

The key observation from Lemma 4.5 is that $\Omega_0, \Omega_1, \Omega_2$ are all smooth fiber-wise operators with respect to the foliation $M \to B$.

4.3. **The large time estimation of Azzali-Goette-Schick.** In this section, we follow [1, Section 4] to estimate the Hilbert-Schmit norms of

$$e^{-D_t(r)^2} \in \Psi^{-\infty}_{\ell^2}(E_\flat \rtimes G)$$

(see Lemma 4.11 below).

Let $\gamma' := 1-(1+\frac{2\gamma}{n+2+2\gamma})^{-1}$, $\bar{r}(t) := (r(1-r)t)^{-\gamma'}$. Fix $\bar{t}$ such that $\bar{r}(\bar{t}) < (n+1)^{-1}$. Recall that in [16] the authors proved the following counterparts of [1, Lemma 4.2]:

Lemma 4.6. *For $c = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$, and for all $0 \leq r \leq 1, 0 < r' < 1, r(1-r)t > \bar{t}$,*

$$\left\| \left(\sqrt{t} D(r)\right)^c e^{-r'r(1-r)t\Delta} \right\|_{\mathrm{op}' m} \dot{\leq} r'^{-\frac{c}{2}};$$

For all $0 \leq r \leq 1, \bar{r}(t) < r < 1, t > \bar{t}$,

$$\left\| e^{-r'r(1-r)t\Delta} - \Pi_0 \right\|_{\mathrm{HS}\, m} \dot{\leq} (r'r(1-r)t)^{-\gamma},$$

$$\left\| \left(\sqrt{t} D(r)\right)^c e^{-r'r(1-r)t\Delta} \right\|_{\mathrm{HS}\, m} \dot{\leq} r'^{-\frac{c}{2}} (r'r(1-r)t)^{-\gamma}, \ \textit{if } c \geq 1.$$

Proof. To prove the first equality, write

$$2D(r) = (d_V + (d_V^{\nabla^E})^*) - (2r-1)(d_V - (d_V^{\nabla^E})^*).$$

Clearly $d_V + (d_V^{\nabla^E})^*$ anti-commutes with $d_V - (d_V^{\nabla^E})^*$, and both commute with Δ. Therefore $D(r)^c e^{-r'r(1-r)t\Delta}$ can be written as sum of the form

$$C(r')(d_V + (d_V^{\nabla^E})^*)^k e^{-\frac{r'r(1-r)t\Delta}{2}} (d_V - (d_V^{\nabla^E})^*)^{c-k} e^{-\frac{r'r(1-r)t\Delta}{2}},$$

where $k = 0, \cdots, c$. The first inequality then follows form [12].

The second inequality is [16, Theorem 3.13].

To prove the third inequality one writes

$$D(r)^c e^{-r'r(1-r)t\Delta} = (D(r)^c e^{-\frac{r'r(1-r)t\Delta}{2}}) e^{-\frac{r'r(1-r)t\Delta}{2}},$$

then take the $\| \cdot \|_{\mathrm{op}' m}$ norm for the first factor, and $\| \cdot \|_{\mathrm{HS}\, m}$ for the second. □

We furthermore observe that the arguments leading to the main result [1, Theorem 4.1] still hold if one replaces the operator and $\| \cdot \|_\tau$ norm respectively by $\| \cdot \|_{\mathrm{op}' m}$ and $\| \cdot \|_{\mathrm{HS}\, m}$ for any m.

The arguments in [1, Section 4] are elementary, so we will only recall some key steps. First, one splits the domain of integration $\Sigma^n = \bigcup_{I \neq \{0, \cdots, n\}} \Sigma^n_{\bar{r}, I}$, where

$$\Sigma^n_{\bar{r}, I} := \{(r_0, \cdots, r_n) : r_i \leq \bar{r}, \forall\, i \in I, r_j \geq \bar{r}, \forall\, j \notin I\}.$$

Then from Equation (37) and grouping terms involving $D(r)$ together, one has

$$e^{-D_t(r)^2} = \sum K(t, n, I, c_0, \cdots c_n; a_1, \cdots a_n),$$

where

$$K(t, n, I, c_0, \cdots c_n; a_1, \cdots a_n) := \tag{41}$$

$$\int_{\Sigma^n_{\bar{r}, I}} (t^{\frac{1}{2}} D(r))^{c_0} e^{-r_0 r(1-r)t\Delta} \star \Omega_{a_1} \star (t^{\frac{1}{2}} D(r))^{c_1} e^{-r_1 r(1-r)t\Delta}$$

$$\star \cdots \star \Omega_{a_n} \star (t^{\frac{1}{2}} D(r))^{c_n} e^{-r_n r(1-r)t\Delta} d\Sigma^n,$$

for $c_i = 0, 1, 2, a_j = 0, 1, 2$. We follow the proof of [1, Proposition 4.6] (see also [16, Lemma 4.3]) to estimate $K(t, n, I, c_0, \cdots c_n; a_1, \cdots, a_n)$.

Remark 4.7. Note that the integrand in (41), in particular ∇^G is not $\Omega_c^\bullet(B \rtimes G)$ linear. However, ∇^G still satisfies the condition (22). Observe that all results in Sections 3.4 and 3.5 only uses (22), therefore they still hold for K, provided we abuse notation and define $\|K\|_{\mathrm{HS}\, m}$ as in Equation (24) whenever K only satisfies (22) but not necessary $\Omega_c^\bullet(B \rtimes G)$ linear.

Lemma 4.8. *Suppose $c_0, \cdots c_n = 0, 1$. There exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that as $t \to \infty$,*

$$K(t, n, I, c_0, \cdots c_n, a_1, \cdots, a_n)(x, y, z) = \begin{cases} (\frac{1}{n!} \Pi_0 \Omega_{a_1} \Pi_0 \cdots \Pi_0)(x, y, z) + O(t^{-\varepsilon}) & \text{if } I = \emptyset, c_0, \cdots, c_n = 0 \\ O(t^{-\varepsilon}) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

in the $\|\cdot\|_{\mathrm{HS}\, m}$-norm.

Proof. We generalize the proof of [16, Lemma 4.2].

First suppose $I = \emptyset, c_q \geq 1$ for some q. We take the $\|\cdot\|_{\mathrm{HS}\, m}$ norm of the $(t^{\frac{1}{2}} D(r))^{c_q} e^{-r_q r(1-r) t\Delta}$ term. Since Ω_{a_i} are C^∞ bounded tensors with bounds independent of t by Theorem 3.14 and Lemma 3.15, $\|\cdot\|_{\mathrm{HS}\, m}$ of the integrand in (41) is bounded, for some constants C_{a_i} independent of t, by

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\|(t^{\frac{1}{2}} D(r))^{c_0} e^{-r_0 r(1-r) t\Delta}\right\|_{\mathrm{op}'\, m} C_{a_1} \cdots C_{a_q} \\ \left\|(t^{\frac{1}{2}} D(r))^{c_q} e^{-r_q r(1-r) t\Delta}\right\|_{\mathrm{HS}\, m} &C_{a_{q+1}} \cdots \left\|(t^{\frac{1}{2}} D(r))^{c_n} e^{-r_n r(1-r) t\Delta}\right\|_{\mathrm{op}'\, m} \\ &\dot{\leq} r_0^{-\frac{c_0}{2}} \cdots r_q^{-\frac{c_q}{2}} (r_q r(1-r) t)^{-\gamma} \cdots r_n^{-\frac{c_n}{2}} \\ &\dot{\leq} \bar{r}^{-\frac{n}{2} - \gamma} t^{-\gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating, we have the estimate

$$\left\|K(t, n, I, c_0, \cdots c_n; a_1, \cdots a_n)\right\|_{\mathrm{HS}\, m} \leq C'_m t^{-\gamma + \gamma'(\frac{n}{2} + \gamma)} \int d\Sigma^n,$$

which is $O((r(1-r)t)^{-\varepsilon})$ with $\varepsilon = \gamma(1 - \frac{n+2\gamma}{n+2+2\gamma})$.

Next, suppose $I = \emptyset$ and $c_i = 0$ for all i. Write $e^{-r_i t r(1-r)\Delta} = (e^{-r_i t r(1-r)\Delta} - \Pi_0) + \Pi_0$, and split the integrand in (41) into 2^{n+1} terms. If any term contains a $e^{-r_i t\Delta} - \Pi_0$ factor, similar arguments as in the first case shows that it is $O((r(r(1-r)t)^{-\gamma})$. Hence the only term that dose not converge to 0 is

$$(\Pi_0 \Omega_{a_1} \Pi_0 \cdots \Pi_0)(x, y, z).$$

Since the volume of $\Sigma^n_{\bar{r}(t), I}$ converges to $\frac{1}{n!}$ as $t \to \infty$, the claim follows.

It remains to consider the case when I is non-empty. For t sufficiently large $I \neq \{0, \cdots, n\}$. Write $I = \{i_1, \cdots, i_s\}$, $\{0, \cdots, n\} \setminus I =: \{k_1, \cdots, k_{s'}\} \neq \emptyset$. If $k_1, \cdots, k_{s'} = 0$, take $\|\cdot\|_{\mathrm{HS}\, m}$-norm for $(t^{\frac{1}{2}} D_0)^{c_{k_1}} e^{-r_{k_1} r(1-r) t\Delta}$ term. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\|K(t, n, I, c_0, \cdots, c_n; a_1, \cdots, a_n)(x, y, z)\right\|_{\mathrm{HS}\, m} \\ &\dot{\leq} \int_0^{\bar{r}(t)} \cdots \int_0^{\bar{r}(t)} \Big(\int_{\{(r_{k_1}, \cdots, r_{k'_s}) : (r_0, \cdots, r_n) \in \Sigma^n_{\bar{r}(t), I}\}} r_0^{-\frac{c_{i_1}}{2}} \cdots r_n^{-\frac{c_{i_s}}{2}} \\ &\qquad\qquad d(r_{k_1} \cdots r_{k_{s'}}) \Big) dr_{i_1} \cdots dr_{i_s}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\int_0^{\bar{r}(t)} r_i^{\frac{c_i}{2}} dr_i = O((r(1-r)t)^{-\gamma'(1-\frac{c_i}{2})})$; while the integral over the variables $r_{k_1}, \cdots, r_{k_{s'}}$ is bounded.

If there is some $c_{k_q} \geq 1$, we take the $\|\cdot\|_{\mathrm{HS}\, m}$ norm of the $(t^{\frac{1}{2}} D(r))^{c_{k_q}} e^{-r_{k_q} r(1-r)t\Delta}$ term, and the claim follows by similar arguments as the first case. □

One then turns to the case when some $c_i = 2$. If I and J are disjoint subsets of $\{0, \cdots, n\}$ with $I = \{i_1, \cdots, i_s\}$, and $\{0, \cdots, n\} \setminus (I \cup J) =: \{k_0, \cdots, k_q\} \neq \emptyset$, denote by

$$\Sigma^n_{\bar{r},I,J} := \{(r_0, \cdots, r_n) \in \Sigma^n_{\bar{r},I} : r_j = \bar{r}(t), \text{ whenever } j \in J\},$$

and define for any smooth, bounded $\Omega^*_c(M \rtimes G)$-linear operators $B_1, \cdots B_n$

$$\begin{aligned} &K(t, n, I, J, c_0, \cdots c_n; B_1, \cdots B_n) \\ &:= \int_0^{\bar{r}(t)} \cdots \int_0^{\bar{r}(t)} \int_{\{(r_{k_0}, \cdots r_{k_q}) : (r_0, \cdots, r_n) \in \Sigma^n_{\bar{r},I}\}} (t^{\frac{1}{2}} D(r))^{c_0} e^{-r_0 r(1-r)t\Delta} \\ &\qquad \prod_{i=1}^n (B_i (t^{\frac{1}{2}} D(r))^{c_i} e^{-r_i r(1-r)t\Delta}) \Big|_{\Sigma^n_{\bar{r},I,J}} d^q(r_{k_0}, \cdots r_{k_q}) dr_{i_1} \cdots dr_{i_s}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose for some $i_p \in I, c_{i_p} = 2$, then one has the integration by parts formula [1, Equation (4.17)]:

$$\begin{aligned} &K(t,n, I, J; \cdots, c_{i_p}, \cdots, c_{k_0}, \cdots; \cdots, B_{i_p}, B_{i_p+1}, \cdots) \\ &= \begin{cases} K(t, n, I \setminus \{i_p\}, J \cup \{i_p\}; \cdots, 0, \cdots, c_{k_0}, \cdots; \cdots, B_{i_p}, B_{i_p+1}, \cdots) \\ -K(t, n-1, I \setminus \{i_p\}, J; \cdots, \cdots, c_{k_0}, \cdots; \cdots, B_{i_p} B_{i_p+1}, \cdots) \\ +K(t, n, I, J \cup \{k_0\}; \cdots, 0, \cdots, c_{k_0}, \cdots; \cdots, B_{i_p}, B_{i_p+1}, \cdots) \\ +K(t, n, I, J; \cdots, 0, \cdots, c_{k_0} + 2, \cdots; \cdots, B_{i_p}, B_{i_p+1}, \cdots) \text{ if } q > 0, \\ K(t, n, I \setminus \{i_p\}, J \cup \{i_p\}; \cdots, 0, \cdots, c_{k_0}, \cdots; \cdots, B_{i_p}, B_{i_p+1}, \cdots) \\ -K(t, n-1, I \setminus \{i_p\}, J; \cdots, \cdots, c_{k_0}, \cdots; \cdots, B_{i_p} B_{i_p+1}, \cdots) \\ +K(t, n, I, J; \cdots, 0, \cdots, c_{k_0} + 2, \cdots; \cdots, B_{i_p}, B_{i_p+1}, \cdots) \text{ if } q = 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

We remark that the proof of [1, Equation (4.17)] does not involve any norm, therefore we omit the details here.

On the other hand one has the following straightforward generalization of Lemma 4.8 (compare [1, Proposition 4.7]):

Lemma 4.9. *Suppose $c_i = 0, 1$ for all $i \in I$. There exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that as $t \to \infty$*

$$\begin{aligned} &\big\|K(t, n, I, J, c_0, \cdots c_n; a_1, \cdots, a_n) - ((n - |J|)!)^{-1} \Pi_0 \Omega_{a_1} \Pi_0 \cdots \Omega_{a_n} \Pi_0\big\|_{\mathrm{HS}\, m} \\ &\qquad = O((r(1-r)t)^{-\varepsilon}) \text{ if } I = \emptyset, c_0, \cdots, c_n = 0; \\ &\big\|K(t, n, I, J, c_0, \cdots c_n; a_1, \cdots, a_n)\big\|_{\mathrm{HS}\, m} = O((r(1-r)t)^{-\varepsilon}) \text{ otherwise.} \end{aligned}$$

Thus the term $K(t, n, I, c_0, \cdots c_n; a_1, \cdots a_n)$ converges to 0 unless

$$c_i = 0 \text{ whenever } i \in I, \quad c_i = 2 \text{ whenever } i \notin I.$$

Whenever $c_i = 2$ and $i \in I$, the corresponding part of the integrand in such a term is of the form

$$\cdots e^{-r_{i-1} r(1-r)t\Delta} \star \Omega_1 \star tD(r)^2 e^{-r_i r(1-r)t\Delta} \star \Omega_2 \star e^{-r_{i+1} r(1-r)t\Delta} \cdots ; \tag{43}$$

on the other hand if $i-1, i \notin I$, then the corresponding part of the integrand is of the form

$$\cdots e^{-r_{i-1}r(1-r)t\Delta} \star \Omega_0 \star e^{-r_i r(1-r)t\Delta} \cdots . \tag{44}$$

By Equation (42) and Lemma 4.9, for each fixed $0 < r < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\cdots e^{-r_{i-1}r(1-r)t\Delta} \star \Omega_1 \star tD(r)^2 e^{-r_i r(1-r)t\Delta} \star \Omega_2 \star e^{-r_{i+1}r(1-r)t\Delta} \cdots \\
&\qquad = \cdots \Pi_0 \star (2\Omega((1-r)\Pi_d - r\Pi_{d^*}) + \nabla^G) \\
&\qquad\quad \star (\Pi_0 - \mathrm{id}) \star (2((1-r)\Pi_{d^*} - r\Pi_d)\Omega + \nabla^G) \star \Pi_0 \cdots \\
&\qquad = \cdots \Pi_0 \big(- (\nabla^{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta)})^2 - \Omega_0 \big) \Pi_0 \cdots
\end{aligned}$$

modulo terms of $O((r(1-r)t)^{-\varepsilon})$.

One then proceeds as [1, Section 4.5] to compute the limit of $e^{-D_t(r)^2}$ as $t \to \infty$. Since $K(t, n, I, c_0, \cdots c_n; a_1, \cdots a_n)$ is of non-commutative degree at least $n - \dim B$, therefore given any degree, $e^{-D_t(r)^2}$ is determined by a finite number of terms. Moreover, we have seen $K(t, n, I, c_0, \cdots c_n; a_1, \cdots a_n)$ converge to its limit with an error of $O((r(1-r)t)^{-\varepsilon_n})$ (note that the rate of convergence depends on n).

To simplify notation, we denote

Notation 4.10. Given a sequence of positive numbers $\{\gamma_n\}$, and a family of kernels $\psi(t) \in \Psi^{-\infty}_{\ell^2}(M \times_B M), t \in (0, \infty)$, we write

$$\psi(t) = \dot{O}(t^{-\{\gamma_n\}})$$

if the degree n component of ψ is $O(t^{-\gamma_n})$ in the $\| \cdot \|_{\mathrm{HS}\, m}$ norm for all m.

Summing over all $K(t, n, I, c_0, \cdots c_n; a_1, \cdots a_n)$, one gets:

Lemma 4.11. *For all $0 < r < 1$, as $t \to \infty$,*

$$\big\| e^{-D_t(r)^2} - e^{-(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta)}(r))^2} \big\|_{\mathrm{HS}\, m} = \dot{O}((r(1-r)t)^{-\{\varepsilon_n\}}),$$

for some sequence $\{\varepsilon_n\}$.

Next, we turn to study the large time limit of

$$(D_t - D'_t) e^{-D_t(r)^2}.$$

From Equation (37) one has

$$e^{-D_t(r)^2} = \sum K'(t, n, I, c_0, \cdots c_n; a_1, \cdots a_n),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
&K'(t, n, I, c_0, \cdots c_n; a_1, \cdots a_n) := \\
&\qquad \int_{\Sigma^n_{r,I}} (D_t - D'_t)(t^{\frac{1}{2}} D(r))^{c_0} e^{-r_0 r(1-r)t\Delta} \star \Omega_{a_1} \star (t^{\frac{1}{2}} D(r))^{c_1} e^{-r_1 r(1-r)t\Delta} \\
&\qquad\qquad \star \cdots \star \Omega_{a_n} \star (t^{\frac{1}{2}} D(r))^{c_n} e^{-r_n r(1-r)t\Delta} d\Sigma^n,
\end{aligned}$$

for $c_i = 0, 1, 2, a_j = 0, 1, 2$. For $0 < r < 1$, write

$$D_t - D'_t = t^{\frac{1}{2}}(r^{-1}\Pi_d - (1-r)^{-1}\Pi_{d^*}) D(r) + 2\Omega + t^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\iota_\Theta + \Theta\wedge).$$

It is clear that K' is essentially of the same form as K, therefore the same arguments as above apply. We conclude that $K'(t,n,I,c_0,\cdots c_n;a_1,\cdots a_n)$ is $O((r(1-r)t)^{-\varepsilon})$ unless $K'(t,n,I,c_0,\cdots c_n;a_1,\cdots a_n)$ equals

$$\int_{\Sigma^n_{\bar{r},I}}(2\Omega+t^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\iota_\Theta+\Theta\wedge))e^{-r_0r(1-r)t\Delta}\Omega_0e^{-r_1r(1-r)t\Delta}\cdots d\Sigma^n \text{ or}$$
$$\int_{\Sigma^n_{\bar{r},I}}(r^{-1}\Pi_d-(1-r)^{-1}\Pi_{d^*})(tD(r)^2)e^{-r_0r(1-r)t\Delta}\Omega_2e^{-r_1r(1-r)t\Delta}\cdots d\Sigma^n,$$

where for $i\geq 1$, $c_i=0$ whenever $i\in I$, $c_i=2$ whenever $i\notin I$. One has

$$\begin{aligned}(r^{-1}\Pi_d-(1-r)^{-1}\Pi_{d^*})(tD(r)^2)&e^{-r_0r(1-r)t\Delta}\Omega_2e^{-r_1r(1-r)t\Delta}\\ &=2(\Pi_0-\mathrm{id})\Omega+(r^{-1}\Pi_d-(1-r)^{-1}\Pi_{d^*})\star\nabla^G\end{aligned}$$

modulo terms of $\dot{O}((r(1-r)t)^{-\{\varepsilon_n\}})$. It follows that

Lemma 4.12. *For all $0<r<1$, as $t\to\infty$,*

$$\begin{aligned}\Big\|(D_t-D'_t)e^{-D_t(r)^2}-(2\Pi_0\Omega+(r^{-1}\Pi_d-(1-r)^{-1}\Pi_{d^*})\star\nabla^G)\star e^{-(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta)}(r))^2}\Big\|_{\mathrm{HS}\,m}&\\ =\dot{O}((r(1-r)t)^{-\{\varepsilon_n\}})&.\end{aligned}$$

The case for $(D_t-D'_t)e^{-D_t(r)^2}(D_t-D'_t)$ is similar. We simply state the result:

Lemma 4.13. *For all $0<r<1$, as $t\to\infty$,*

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big\|(D_t-D'_t)e^{-D_t(r)^2}(D_t-D'_t)\\ &-\big(2\Pi_0\Omega+\big(\frac{\Pi_d}{r}-\frac{\Pi_{d^*}}{1-r}\big)\star\nabla^G\big)\star e^{-(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta)}(r))^2}\star\big(2\Omega\Pi_0+\nabla^G\star\big(\frac{\Pi_{d^*}}{r}-\frac{\Pi_d}{1-r}\big)\big)\Big\|_{\mathrm{HS}\,m}\\ &=\dot{O}((r(1-r)t)^{-\{\varepsilon_n\}}).\end{aligned}$$

4.4. **Large time behavior of the super-trace.** By Lemma 3.20, $e^{-D_t(r)^2}$, $(D_t-D'_t)e^{-D_t(r)^2}$ and their limits as $t\to\infty$ are trace class operators. We compute their (super)-trace as $t\to\infty$ (we do not need the super-trace of $(D_t-D'_t)e^{-D_t(r)^2}(D_t-D'_t)$).

Theorem 4.14. *As $t\to\infty$,*

$$\begin{aligned}\big\|\operatorname{str}_\Psi(e^{-D_t(r)^2}-e^{-(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta)}(r))^2})\big\|_{C^m}&=\dot{O}((r(1-r)t)^{-\{\varepsilon_n\}}),\\ \big\|\operatorname{str}_\Psi\big((D_t-D'_t)e^{-D_t(r)^2}-2\Pi_0\Omega e^{-(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta)}(r))^2}\big)\big\|_{C^m}&=\dot{O}((r(1-r)t)^{-\{\varepsilon_n\}}).\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We begin with $\operatorname{str}_\Psi(e^{-D_t(r)^2})$. Write

$$e^{-D_t(r)^2}=2^{-\frac{N_\Omega}{2}}e^{-D_{t/2}(r)^2}e^{-D_{t/2}(r)^2}2^{\frac{N_\Omega}{2}}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}e^{-D_t(r)^2}-e^{-(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta)}(r))^2}=&2^{-\frac{N_\Omega}{2}}\big(e^{-D_{t/2}(r)^2}(e^{-D_{t/2}(r)^2}-e^{-(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta)}(r))^2})\\ &+(e^{-D_{t/2}(r)^2}-e^{-(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta)}(r))^2})e^{-(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta)}(r))^2}\big)2^{\frac{N_\Omega}{2}}.\end{aligned}$$

Denote by P_k the projection to (total) degree k component, $k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$. By the same arguments as in the proof of Lemma 3.20 (in particular Equation (31)), one estimates the C^m norms (for $\Omega^\bullet_{\ell^2,m}(B \rtimes G)$):

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big\|P_k\big(\operatorname{str}_\Psi(e^{-D_t(r)^2} - e^{-(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta)}(r))^2})\big)\big\|_{C^m}\\
=&\Big\|2^{-\frac{N_\Omega}{2}}\operatorname{str}_\Psi\Big(\sum_{k'=0}^{k}(P_{k'}e^{-D_{t/2}(r)^2})\big(P_{k-k'}(e^{-D_{t/2}(r)^2} - e^{-(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta)}(r))^2})\big)\\
&+\sum_{k'=0}^{k}\big(P_{k'}(e^{-D_{t/2}(r)^2} - e^{-(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta)}(r))^2})\big)P_{k-k'}e^{-(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta)}(r))^2}\Big)\Big\|_{C^m}\\
\dot{\leq}&\sum_{k'=0}^{k}\big\|P_{k'}e^{-D_{t/2}(r)^2}\big\|_{\mathrm{HS}\,m'}\big\|P_{k-k'}(e^{-D_{t/2}(r)^2} - e^{-(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta)}(r))^2})\big\|_{\mathrm{HS}\,m'}\\
&+\sum_{k'=0}^{k}\big\|P_{k'}(e^{-D_{t/2}(r)^2} - e^{-(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta)}(r))^2})\big\|_{\mathrm{HS}\,m'}\big\|P_{k-k'}e^{-(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta)}(r))^2}\|_{\mathrm{HS}\,m'},
\end{aligned}$$

for some m'. By Lemma 4.11, $\big\|P_{k'}(e^{-D_{t/2}(r)^2} - e^{-(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta)}(r))^2})\big\|_{\mathrm{HS}\,m'}$ $= O((r(1-r)t)^{-\varepsilon_{k'}})$ for some $\varepsilon_{k'} > 0$. The first estimate follows.

As for the second estimate, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(D_t - D'_t)e^{-D_t(r)^2} =&2^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{N_\Omega}{2}}\big((D_{t/2} - D'_{t/2})e^{-D_{t/2}(r)^2}e^{-D_{t/2}(r)^2}2^{\frac{N_\Omega}{2}}\\
2\Pi_0\Omega e^{-(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta)}(r))^2} =&2^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{N_\Omega}{2}}2\Pi_0\Omega e^{-(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta)}(r))^2}e^{-(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta)}(r))^2}2^{\frac{N_\Omega}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore in $\Omega^\bullet_{\ell^2}(B \rtimes G)$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\operatorname{str}_\Psi\big((D_t - D'_t)e^{-D_t(r)^2} - 2\Omega e^{-(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta)}(r))^2}\big)\\
=&2^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{N_\Omega}{2}}\operatorname{str}_\Psi\Big(e^{-D_{t/2}(r)^2}(D_{t/2} - D'_{t/2})e^{-D_{t/2}(r)^2}\\
&-2e^{-(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta)}(r))^2}\Pi_0\Omega e^{-(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta)}(r))^2}\Big)\\
=&2^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{N_\Omega}{2}}\operatorname{str}_\Psi\Big(\big(e^{-D_{t/2}(r)^2} - e^{-(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta)}(r))^2}\big)(D_{t/2} - D'_{t/2})e^{-D_{t/2}(r)^2}\\
&+e^{-(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta)}(r))^2}\big((D_{t/2} - D'_{t/2})e^{-D_{t/2}(r)^2} - 2\Pi_0\Omega e^{-(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta)}(r))^2}\big)\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

Because $e^{-(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta)}(r))^2}\Pi_d = e^{-(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta)}(r))^2}\Pi_{d^*} = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&e^{-(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta)}(r))^2}\big((D_{t/2} - D'_{t/2})e^{-D_{t/2}(r)^2} - 2\Pi_0\Omega e^{-(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta)}(r))^2}\big)\\
=&e^{-(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta)}(r))^2}\big((D_{t/2} - D'_{t/2})e^{-D_{t/2}(r)^2}\\
&-(2\Pi_0\Omega + (r^{-1}\Pi_d - (1-r)^{-1}\Pi_{d^*})\star\nabla^G)\star e^{-(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta)}(r))^2}\big),
\end{aligned}$$

and the claim follows by the same arguments above and applying Lemma 3.14. □

5. The non-commutative torsion form and characteristic classes

We follow [13] and [2] to study the $r \to 0, r \to 1$ and $t \to 0$ behavior of the heat kernel. We first need a more explicit description of the curvature of the Bismut super-connection.

Notation 5.1. Let τ^α be a local basis of $\pi^*(T^*B)$ and let Λ_α denote exterior multiplication by τ^α. Let $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^{\dim Z}$ be a local orthonormal basis of V, with dual basis $\{\tau^j\}_{j=1}^{\dim Z}$. Let Λ_j denote exterior multiplication by τ^j and let ι_j denote interior multiplication by e_j. Put

$$c^j := \Lambda_j - \iota_j, \hat{c}^j := \Lambda_j + \iota_j.$$

Set

$$\psi := (\nabla^E)' - \nabla^E, 2\Omega := (L^{E^\bullet_\flat})' - L^{E^\bullet_\flat} \text{ and } \nabla^{E,u} = \nabla^E + \frac{\psi}{2}.$$

We will use the Einstein summation convention freely. Denote the Chirstoffel symbols by

$$\omega_{IJK} = \tau^I \left(\nabla^{TM}_{e_K} e_J\right),$$

and the twisting curvature by

$$\mathcal{R} := \frac{1}{4}(g^V(e_j, R^{M/B}e_k))\hat{c}^j\hat{c}^k \otimes I_E - \frac{1}{4}(I_{\wedge^\bullet V'} \otimes \psi^2) \in \Omega^2(M, \mathrm{Hom}(\wedge^\bullet V' \otimes E)).$$

Let $\nabla^{TZ\otimes E,u}$ be the tensor of $\nabla^{M/B}$ and $\nabla^{E,u}$, and $R \in C^\infty(M)$ be the scalar curvature of the fibers. For $t > 0$, put

$$\mathcal{D}_j := \nabla^{TZ\otimes E,u}_{e_j} - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{t}}\omega_{\alpha jk}E^\alpha c^k - \frac{1}{4t}\omega_{\alpha\beta j}E^\alpha E^\beta,$$

$$\mathcal{D}^2 := \mathcal{D}_j\mathcal{D}_j - \mathcal{D}_{\nabla^{M/B}_{e_j}e_j}.$$

Recall that $(D_B)^2 = (D'_B)^2 = 0$, hence $(rD_B + (1-r)D'_B)^2 = 4r(1-r)(\frac{1}{2}D_B + \frac{1}{2}D'_B)^2$. Since $(L^{E^\bullet_\flat})' - L^{E^\bullet_\flat}$ is a G-invariant tensor, which in particular anti-commutes with ∇^G, we have by direct computation the Lichnerowicz formula (cf. [13, (6.29)]),

$$\begin{aligned}(45)\quad (D_t(r))^2 s = {} & 4r(1-r)\Big(\frac{t}{4}\big(-\mathcal{D}^2 + \frac{R}{4}\big) + \frac{t}{8}c^ic^j\mathcal{R}(e_i,e_j) + \frac{\sqrt{t}}{2}c^i\Lambda_\alpha\mathcal{R}(e_i,e_\alpha) \\ & + \frac{1}{2}\Lambda_\alpha\Lambda_\beta\mathcal{R}(e_\alpha,e_\beta) + \frac{t}{4}\Big(\frac{1}{4}\psi_j^2 + \frac{1}{8}\hat{c}^j\hat{c}^k[\psi_j,\psi_k] - \frac{1}{2}c^j\hat{c}^k(\nabla^{TZ\otimes E,u}_{e_j}\psi_k)\Big) \\ & - \frac{\sqrt{t}}{4}\Lambda_\alpha\hat{c}^j(\nabla^{TZ\otimes E,u}_{e_\alpha}\psi_j)\Big)s - \frac{\sqrt{t}}{2}\sum_{g\in G} dg(c(d_V\chi))(g^{-1})^*s \\ & + 2\big(\frac{1}{2} - r\big)\frac{\sqrt{t}}{2}\sum_{g\in G} dg(\hat{c}(d_V\chi))(g^{-1})^*s - \sum_{g\in G} dg\Lambda_{d_H\chi}(g^{-1})^*s + (\nabla^G)^2 s,\end{aligned}$$

where d_V and d_H respectively denote the vertical and horizontal DeRham differential operators.

Define the non-commutative degree operator $N_G := k$ on $\Omega^{k,l}_{\ell^2}(B \rtimes G)$. We consider the rescaled operator

$$r^{N_G}\left(rD_B + (1-r)D'_B + \nabla^G\right)^2 r^{-N_G} = r\tilde{\Delta},$$

where

$$\tilde{\Delta} := (1-r)(D_B + D'_B)^2 + \nabla^G(rD_B + (1-r)D'_B) + (rD_B + (1-r)D'_B)\nabla^G + r(\nabla^G)^2.$$

Its heat kernel is just

$$r^{N_G}\big(e^{-t(rD_B+(1-r)D'_B+\nabla^G)^2}(x,y,z)\big)$$

(corresponding to the operator $r^{N_G}e^{-t(rD_B+(1-r)D'_B+\nabla^G)^2}r^{-N_G}$), which is the unique solution of

$$\big(\frac{d}{dt}+r\tilde{\Delta}_y\big)\big(r^{N_G}\big(e^{-t(rD_B+(1-r)D'_B+\nabla^G)^2}\big)(x,y,z)\big)=0. \tag{46}$$

Let $\tilde{t}:=rt$, then Equation (46) is equivalent to

$$\big(\frac{d}{d\tilde{t}}+\tilde{\Delta}_y\big)\big(r^{N_G}(e^{-\tilde{t}\tilde{\Delta}}(x,y,z))\big)=0. \tag{47}$$

One can solve (47) using the Levi parameterix method as in [2, Chapter 2]. It follows in particular that one has asymptotic expansion as $\tilde{t}=rt\to 0$:

$$r^{N_G}(e^{-\tilde{t}\tilde{\Delta}}(x,y,z))\sim(4\pi\tilde{t})^{-\frac{\dim Z}{2}}e^{-\frac{\mathbf{d}(y,z)^2}{4\tilde{t}}}\sum_{i=0}\tilde{t}^i\tilde{\Phi}_i(x,y,z), \tag{48}$$

where $\tilde{\Phi}_i$ can be computed explicitly as in [2, Theorem 2.26]. Namely, in normal coordinates around arbitrary $z\in Z_x$, $y=\exp_z\mathbf{y}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\Phi}_0(x,y,z):=&I\\ \tilde{\Phi}_i(x,y,z):=&\tau\Big(-\int_0^1 s^{i-1}\tau(x,\exp_z s\mathbf{y},z)(J^{\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{\Delta}J^{-\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{\Phi}_{i-1})(x,\exp_z s\mathbf{y},z)ds\Big).\end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

Observe that $\tilde{\Phi}_i$ is at most of non-commutative degree i. Therefore one can rescale and obtain the asymptotic expansion for fixed $t>0$ and $r\to 0$:

$$e^{-(D_t(r))^2}(x,y,z)\sim(4\pi rt)^{-\frac{\dim Z}{2}}e^{-\frac{\mathbf{d}(y,z)^2}{4rt}}\sum_{i=0}r^i\Phi_i(x,y,z,t), \tag{50}$$

in the sense that the coefficients of each $dg_{(k)}$ is an asymptotic expansion. Differentiating Equation (50), one gets for fixed $t>0$, $r\to 0$

$$(D_t-D'_t)e^{-D_t(r)^2}(x,z,z)\sim(4\pi rt)^{-\frac{\dim Z}{2}}\sum_{i=0}r^i(D_t-D'_t)\Phi_i(x,z,z,t). \tag{51}$$

5.1. **The Chern character and Chern-Simon form.** Consider the point-wise super trace of (51). From Equation (49), we observe that each Φ_i is a sum of product of terms in (45) and their derivatives. Moreover, in order for $(D_t-D'_t)\Phi_i$ to have non-zero point-wise super-trace it must have degree $\dim Z$ in both $\{\Lambda_j\}$ and $\{\iota_j\}$.

We write $c_j,\hat{c}_j$ in terms of ι_j,Λ_j. Note in particular that by [2, (3.16)], the twisting curvature term $\sum_{i,j}c_ic_j\mathcal{R}(e_i,e_j)$ is of the form $\sum_{i,j,i',j'}\iota_i\Lambda_j\iota_{i'}\Lambda_{j'}\mathcal{R}_{iji'j'}$. It follows that each factor Λ_i is multiplied by factor of $r^{\frac{1}{2}}$ (or higher power), therefore $(D_t-D'_t)e^{-D_t(r)^2}=O(r^{-\frac{1}{2}})$ as $r\to 0$. The case for for $r\to 1$ is similar. Hence it makes sense to define:

Definition 5.2. The Chern character of $D_t(r)$, $0\le r\le 1$, is

$$\mathrm{Ch}(D_t(r)):=\mathrm{str}_\Psi\left(e^{-D_t(r)^2}\right)\in\Omega^\bullet_{\ell^2}(B\rtimes G)_{\mathrm{Ab}}.$$

The Chern-Simon form is

$$\mathrm{CS}(D_t,D'_t):=-\int_0^1\mathrm{str}_\Psi\left((D_t-D'_t)e^{-D_t(r)^2}\right)dr\in\begin{cases}\Omega^\bullet_{\ell^2}(B\rtimes G)_{\mathrm{Ab}} & \text{if } \dim Z \text{ is odd},\\ \widetilde{\Omega}^\bullet_{\ell^2}(B\rtimes G)_{\mathrm{Ab}} & \text{if } \dim Z \text{ is even}.\end{cases}$$

Consider $\mathrm{Ch}(\eth_t(r))$ as $r \to 0$. Again one considers the asymptotic expansion (50). By similar arguments as above, one concludes $\lim_{r\to 0} \mathrm{Ch}(\eth_t(r))$ exists, moreover if $\dim Z$ is odd

$$\lim_{r\to 0} \mathrm{Ch}(\eth_t(r)) = 0$$

since the only non-commutative term involving Λ_j is of $O(r)$; If $\dim Z$ is even then modulo $\oplus_{k>l}\Omega^{k,l}_{\ell^2}(M \rtimes G)_{\mathrm{Ab}}$, $\lim_{r\to 0} \mathrm{Ch}(\eth_t(r))$ is a combination of

$$4r(1-r)\Big(\frac{t}{8}c_ic_j\mathcal{R}(E_i,E_j)+\frac{t}{4}(\frac{1}{8}\hat{c}_j\hat{c}_k[\psi_j,\psi_k]-\frac{1}{2}c_j\hat{c}_k\nabla^{TZ\otimes E,u}_{E_j}\psi_k)\Big) \text{ and } \Lambda_\alpha(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha}(g^*\chi)).$$

It follows that in both cases

$$\lim_{r\to 0} \mathrm{Ch}(\eth_t(r)) = \lim_{r'\to 0} \mathrm{Ch}(\eth_t(1-r')).$$

Hence, our construction implies

$$(d_B + d)\,\mathrm{CS}(\eth_t, \eth'_t) = \lim_{r\to 0} \mathrm{Ch}(\eth_t(r)) - \lim_{r\to 1} \mathrm{Ch}(\eth_t(r)) = 0. \tag{52}$$

5.2. **The analytic torsion form and transgression formula.** Consider the fiber bundle $M \times \mathbb{R}^+ \to B \times \mathbb{R}^+$, with G acting trivially on the $\mathbb{R}^+$ factor. Define the super-connection

$$\widetilde{D} := D_t + dt\partial_t$$

on $B \times \mathbb{R}^+$. The adjoint connection of $\widetilde{D}$ with respect to the metric

$$\langle s, s'\rangle_t := t^{N_V}\langle s, s'\rangle$$

is $\widetilde{D}' := D'_t + dt(\partial_t + t^{-1}N)$. Denote

$$\widetilde{D}(r) := r\widetilde{D} + (1-r)\widetilde{D}'.$$

One has

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{D}(r)^2 =& dt(-\partial_t(1-r)\widetilde{D}' + [(1-r)t^{-1}N, r\widetilde{D} + (1-r)\widetilde{D}']) + D_t(r)^2\\ =& r(1-r)dt[t^{-1}N, \widetilde{D} - \widetilde{D}'] + D_t(r)^2.\end{aligned}$$

By Duhamel's formula

$$e^{-\widetilde{D}(r)^2} = e^{-D_t(r)^2} + dt\int_0^1 e^{-r'D_t(r)^2}r(1-r)[t^{-1}N, \widetilde{D} - \widetilde{D}']e^{-(1-r')D_t(r)^2}dr'.$$

Consider the Chern-Simon form

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{CS}(\widetilde{D}, \widetilde{D}') =& -\int_0^1 \mathrm{str}_\Psi\left((\partial_r\widetilde{D}(r))e^{-\widetilde{D}(r)^2}\right)dr\\ =& -\int_0^1 \mathrm{str}_\Psi\left((D_t - D'_t - t^{-1}Ndt)e^{-\widetilde{D}(r)^2}\right)dr \in \Omega^\bullet_{\ell^2}(B\times\mathbb{R}^+ \rtimes G)_{\mathrm{Ab}}.\end{aligned}$$

We compute its dt term:

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{CS}(\widetilde{D}, \widetilde{D}') - \mathrm{CS}(D_t, D'_t)\\ &\quad= dt\int_0^1 \mathrm{str}_\Psi(t^{-1}Ne^{-D_t(r)^2})dr\\ &\qquad + dt\int_0^1 \mathrm{str}_\Psi\Big((D_t - D'_t)\int_0^1 e^{-r'D_t(r)^2}r(1-r)[t^{-1}N, \widetilde{D} - \widetilde{D}']e^{-(1-r')\eth_t(r)^2}dr'\Big)dr\end{aligned}$$

$$=dt\int_0^1 \mathrm{str}_\Psi(t^{-1}Ne^{-D_t(r)^2})dr$$
$$+dt\int_0^1 r(1-r)\int_0^1 \mathrm{str}_\Psi\Big(t^{-1}N\big[D_t-D_t',e^{-r'D_t(r)^2}(D_t-D_t')e^{-(1-r')D_t(r)^2}\big]dr'\Big)dr.$$

Define

$$T(t)\in\begin{cases}\Omega^\bullet_{\ell^2}(B\rtimes G)_{\mathrm{Ab}} & \text{if } \dim Z \text{ is odd},\\ \widetilde{\Omega}^\bullet_{\ell^2}(B\rtimes G)_{\mathrm{Ab}} & \text{if } \dim Z \text{ is even},\end{cases}$$

$$T(t):=-\int_0^1 \mathrm{str}_\Psi(Ne^{-D_t(r)^2})dr$$
$$-\int_0^1 r(1-r)\int_0^1 \mathrm{str}_\Psi\big(N\big[D_t-D_t',e^{-r'D_t(r)^2}(D_t-D_t')e^{-(1-r')D_t(r)^2}\big]\big)dr'dr.$$

Since $(d_B+\partial_t dt+d)\,\mathrm{CS}(\widetilde{D},\widetilde{D}')=0$, by Equation (52), it follows that

$$\partial_t\,\mathrm{CS}(D_t,D_t')=t^{-1}(d_B+d)T(t)\in\begin{cases}\Omega^\bullet_{\ell^2}(B\rtimes G)_{\mathrm{Ab}} & \text{if } \dim Z \text{ odd},\\ \widetilde{\Omega}^\bullet_{\ell^2}(B\rtimes G)_{\mathrm{Ab}} & \text{if } \dim Z \text{ even}.\end{cases}\tag{53}$$

5.3. $t\to 0$ **asymptotic of the characteristic classes.** The $t\to 0$ behavior of the Chern characteristic is well known. Define the Euler class

$$e(R^{M/B}):=\begin{cases}\mathrm{Pf}\left(\frac{R^{M/B}}{2\pi}\right) & \text{if } \dim Z \text{ is odd},\\ 0 & \text{if } \dim Z \text{ is even},\end{cases}$$

where $R^{M/B}$ is the curvature of $\nabla^{M/B}$ and Pf is the Pfaffian. Then one has

Lemma 5.3. [7, Theorem 2] *As $t\to 0$,*

$$\mathrm{str}_\Psi\left(e^{-D_t(r)^2}\right)\to\int_{Z_x}\chi e(R^{M/B})\,\mathrm{tr}\left(e^{-(r\nabla^E+(1-r)(\nabla^E)'+\nabla^G)^2}\right).$$

Proof. The proof of the lemma is similar to [13, Proposition 22]. Consider a rescaling in which $\partial_j\to\varepsilon^{-1/2}\partial_j$, $c^j\to\varepsilon^{-1/2}E^j-\varepsilon^{1/2}I^j$, $E^\alpha\to\varepsilon^{-1/2}E^\alpha$, $\widehat{c}^j\to\widehat{c}^j$ and $\nabla^G\to\varepsilon^{-1/2}\nabla^G$. One finds from (45) that as $\varepsilon\to 0$, in adapted coordinates the rescaling of $\varepsilon(D_4(r))^2$ approaches

$$-4r(1-r)\big(\partial_j-\frac{1}{4}R^{M/B}_{jk}x^k\big)^2+4r(1-r)\mathcal{R}+d^M(\nabla^G)+(\nabla^G)^2.\tag{54}$$

Using local index method as in [3, Theorem 3.15], one finds

$$\lim_{t\to 0}\mathrm{str}_\Psi\left(e^{-D_t(r)^2}\right)=\int_{Z_x}\chi(4r(1-r))^{-n/2}\mathrm{Pf}\Big(\frac{4r(1-r)R^{B/M}}{2\pi}\Big)$$
$$\wedge\,\mathrm{tr}\left(e^{-(d_M(\nabla^G)+(\nabla^G)^2-r(1-r)\psi^2)}\right).$$

The claim follows since

$$\big(r\nabla^E+(1-r)(\nabla^E)'+\nabla^G\big)^2=d_M(\nabla^G)+(\nabla^G)^2-r(1-r)\psi^2.\quad\square$$

Next, we turn to the $t\to 0$ limit of the Chern-Simon class. The computation is similar to [13, Proposition 24].

Lemma 5.4. *One has as $t \to 0$,*

$$\mathrm{CS}(D_t, D_t') \to \int_{Z_x} \chi e(R^{M/B}) \wedge \int_0^1 \mathrm{tr}\left(\psi e^{-(r\nabla^E + (1-r)(\nabla^E)' + \nabla^G)^2}\right) dr.$$

Proof. The argument is similar to [3, Theorem 3.16]. Let z be a Grassmann variable with $z^2 = 0$ and anti-commutes with all Grassmann variables. Then

$$\mathrm{str}_\Psi\left((D_t - D_t')e^{-(D_t(r))^2}\right) = \mathrm{str}_\Psi\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\Big|_{z=0} \frac{1}{2r(1-r)} e^{-(D_t(r))^2 + 2zr(1-r)(D_t - D_t')}\right).$$

Rescale as in Lemma 5.3, with $z \to \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} z$ in addition. One finds from (45) that as $\varepsilon \to 0$, in adapted coordinates the rescaling of $\varepsilon((D_4(r))^2 + 2r(1-r)z(D_t - D_t'))$ approaches

$$-4r(1-r)\Big(\partial_j - \frac{1}{4}R^{M/B}_{jk}x^k\Big)^2 + 4r(1-r)\mathcal{R} - 2r(1-r)z\psi + d^M(\nabla^G) + (\nabla^G)^2.$$

Proceeding as in the proof of [3, Theorem 3.16], one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{t\to 0} \mathrm{CS}(D_t, D_t') \\
&\quad = \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\Big|_{z=0} \int_0^1 \frac{1}{2r(1-r)} \int_{Z_x} \chi e(R^{M/B}) \,\mathrm{tr}\left(e^{-((\nabla^G)^2 - r(1-r)\psi^2 - 2r(1-r)z\psi)}\right) dr \\
&\quad = \int_{Z_x} \chi e(R^{M/B}) \int_0^1 \mathrm{tr}\left(\psi e^{-(r\nabla^E + (1-r)(\nabla^E)' + \nabla^G)^2}\right) dr,
\end{aligned}$$

which is the desired result. □

As for $T(t)$, one has

Lemma 5.5. *(See* [13, Proposition 25]*) As $t \to 0$,*

$$T(t) = O(t^{\frac{1}{2}}) \qquad \textit{if } \dim Z \textit{ is odd,}$$

$$T(t) = -\frac{n}{2}\int_{Z_x} \chi e(R^{M/B}) \int_0^1 \mathrm{tr}\left(e^{-(r\nabla^E + (1-r)(\nabla^E)' + \nabla^G)^2}\right) dr + O(t) \quad \textit{if } \dim Z \textit{ is even.}$$

Proof. Let $\widehat{M} = M \times \mathbb{R}^+$ and $\widehat{B} = B \times \mathbb{R}^+$. Define $\widehat{\pi} : \widehat{M} \to \widehat{B}$ by $\widehat{\pi}(p, s) := (\pi(p), s)$. Let $\widehat{Z}$ be the fiber of $\widehat{\pi}$. Let $g^{\widehat{V}}$ be the metric on $\ker(d\widehat{\pi})$, which restricts to $s^{-1}g^V$ on $M \times \{s\}$. Using the method of proof of [3, Theorem 3.21], one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{D}_t =& \sqrt{t} d^V + L^{E^\bullet_\flat} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}\iota_\Theta + ds\partial_s + \nabla^G = s^{-N/2} D_{st} s^{N/2} + ds\partial_s, \\
\widehat{D}_t' =& s\sqrt{t}(d^V)^* + (L^{E^\bullet_\flat})' - \frac{1}{s\sqrt{t}}\Theta \wedge + ds\Big(\partial_s + \frac{1}{s}\Big(N - \frac{n}{2}\Big)\Big) + \nabla^G \\
=& s^{-N/2} D'_{st} s^{N/2} + ds\Big(\partial_s + \frac{1}{s}\Big(N - \frac{n}{2}\Big)\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

Then we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{D}_t(r) =& r\widehat{D}_t + (1-r)\widehat{D}_t' \\
=& r s^{-N/2} D_{st} s^{N/2} + (1-r) s^{-N/2} D'_{st} s^{N/2} + ds\partial_s + (1-r) ds \frac{1}{s}\left(N - \frac{n}{2}\right) \\
=& s^{-N/2} D_{st}(r) s^{N/2} + ds\partial_s + (1-r) ds \frac{1}{s}\left(N - \frac{n}{2}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Using Duhamel's formula, one gets a formula similar to [13, (6.45)] (cf. [13, Proposition 9]) and finds that

$$T(t) = \begin{cases} -\frac{n}{2}\int_0^1 \mathrm{str}_\Psi\left(e^{-(D_t(r))^2}\right)dr + O(t) & \text{if } \dim Z \text{ is even,} \\ O(t^{\frac{1}{2}}) & \text{if } \dim Z \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 5.3, we have

$$\lim_{t\to 0}\int_0^1 \mathrm{str}\left(e^{-(D_t(r))^2}\right)dr = \int_{Z_x}\chi e(R^{M/B})\int_0^1 \mathrm{tr}\left(e^{-(r\nabla^E+(1-r)(\nabla^E)'+\nabla^G)^2}\right)dr. \tag{55}$$

Hence the lemma. □

5.4. **A non-commutative Riemann-Roch-Grothendieck index theorem.** One obtains a Riemann-Roch-Grothendieck index theorem by integrating Equation (53) from $t = 0$ to $t = \infty$. We begin with computing the limit of $T(t)$ as $t \to \infty$.

Lemma 5.6. *As $t \to \infty$,*

$$T(t) = -\int_0^1 \mathrm{str}_\Psi\left(Ne^{-(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta)}(r))^2}\right)dr + \dot{O}(t^{-\{\varepsilon'_n\}}).$$

Proof. First consider the first term of $T(t)$, i.e. $\int_0^1 \mathrm{str}_\Psi(Ne^{-D_t(r)^2})dr$. We split the domain on integration in to $0 \le r \le t^{-\frac{1}{2}}, t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \le r \le 1 - t^{-\frac{1}{2}}, 1 - t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \le r \le 1$ (for sufficiently large t). It clearly follows from the asymptotic expansion (48) that $\mathrm{str}_\Psi(Ne^{-D_t(r)^2})$ is uniformly bounded as $r \to 0$ and $r \to 1$, therefore

$$\int_0^{t^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \mathrm{str}_\Psi(Ne^{-D_t(r)^2})dr = O(t^{-\frac{1}{2}}),$$

and similar for the third integral.

By the first estimate of Theorem 4.14 and since N is bounded, one directly gets

$$\left\|\mathrm{str}_\Psi(Ne^{-\eth_t(r)^2} - Ne^{-(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta)}(r))^2})\right\|_{C^m} = \dot{O}((r(1-r)t)^{-\{\varepsilon_n\}}).$$

Since by construction $r(1-r)t \ge t^{\frac{1}{2}}$, it follows that

$$\int_0^1 \mathrm{str}_\Psi(Ne^{-D_t(r)^2})dr = \int_0^1 \mathrm{str}_\Psi(Ne^{-(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta)}(r))^2})dr + \dot{O}(t^{-\{\varepsilon_n/2\}}).$$

We turn to the second term of $T(t)$. Again, we split the domain of integration into $S := t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \le r, r' \le 1 - t^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and $[0,1]\times[0,1]\setminus S$. The volume of $[0,1]\times[0,1]\setminus S$ is $O(t^{-\frac{1}{2}})$, hence also the integral over $[0,1]\times[0,1]\setminus S$.

On S, by Lemmas 4.11 and 4.13,

$$\begin{aligned}&\left[D_t - D'_t, e^{-r'D_t(r)^2}(D_t - D'_t)e^{-(1-r')D_t(r)^2}\right] \\ &= \Big[\Big(2\Pi_0\Omega + \Big(\frac{\Pi_d}{r} - \frac{\Pi_{d^*}}{1-r}\Big)\star\nabla^G\Big)\star e^{-(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta)})^2}\star\Big(2\Omega\Pi_0 + \nabla^G\star\Big(\frac{\Pi_{d^*}}{r} - \frac{\Pi_d}{1-r}\Big)\Big), \\ &\quad e^{-(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta)})^2}\Big] + O(t^{-\varepsilon'}),\end{aligned}$$

in all $\|\cdot\|_{\mathrm{HS}\,m}$ norms. Observe that all terms in the bracket preserve the grading in $\wedge^\bullet V'$, therefore they commute with the grading operator N. It follows that

$$N\Big[\Big(2\Pi_0\Omega + \Big(\frac{\Pi_d}{r} - \frac{\Pi_{d^*}}{1-r}\Big)\star\nabla^G\Big)\star e^{-(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta)})^2}\star\Big(2\Omega\Pi_0 + \nabla^G\star\Big(\frac{\Pi_{d^*}}{r} - \frac{\Pi_d}{1-r}\Big)\Big),$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&e^{-(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta)})^2}\Big]\\
=&\Big[\big(2\Pi_0\Omega+\big(\frac{\Pi_d}{r}-\frac{\Pi_{d^*}}{1-r}\big)\star\nabla^G\big)\star e^{-(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta)})^2}\star\big(2\Omega\Pi_0+\nabla^G\star\big(\frac{\Pi_{d^*}}{r}-\frac{\Pi_d}{1-r}\big)\big),\\
&Ne^{-(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta)})^2}\Big].
\end{aligned}
$$

By the same arguments as Theorem 4.14, the str_Ψ of the above bracket vanishes.

As for the remainder, by the same arguments as Theorem 4.14 one sees that its trace is also $\dot{O}(t^{-\{\varepsilon_n\}})$ in the C^m norm. □

Definition 5.7. The analytic torsion form is defined to be

$$
T:=\int_0^\infty\big(T(t)+T_\infty-(T_0+T_\infty)(1-\frac{t}{2})e^{-\frac{t}{4}}\big)\frac{dt}{t}\in\begin{cases}\Omega^\bullet_{\ell^2}(B\rtimes G)_{\mathrm{Ab}} & \text{if } \dim Z \text{ is odd},\\ \widetilde{\Omega}^\bullet_{\ell^2}(B\rtimes G)_{\mathrm{Ab}} & \text{if } \dim Z \text{ is even},\end{cases}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_0&:=-\frac{n}{2}\int_{Z_x}\chi e(R^{M/B})\int_0^1\mathrm{tr}\,\big(e^{-(r\nabla^E+(1-r)(\nabla^E)'+\nabla^G)^2}\big)dr\\
T_\infty&:=\int_0^1\mathrm{str}_\Psi\,\big(Ne^{-(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta)}(r))^2}\big)dr.
\end{aligned}
$$

The integral converges and is smooth by Lemmas 4.12 and 5.6.

Integrating Equation (53) from $t=0$ to ∞, and using Lemma 5.4 and the second equation of Theorem 4.14 to evaluate the limits for $\mathrm{CS}(D_t,D_t')$, one gets:

Theorem 5.8. *One has the transgression formula*

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{Z_x}\chi e(\nabla^{M/B})\int_0^1\mathrm{tr}\,\big(\psi e^{-(r\nabla^E+(1-r)(\nabla^E)'+\nabla^G)^2}\big)dt-\mathrm{CS}^{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta)}(L^{E^\bullet_\flat},(L^{E^\bullet_\flat})')&\\
=(d+d_B)T.&
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. It remains to prove

$$
\begin{aligned}
(d_B+d)T_\infty&=0\\
(d_B+d)T_0&=0\in\widetilde{\Omega}^\bullet_{\ell^2}(B\rtimes G)_{\mathrm{Ab}}\text{ if }\dim Z\text{ is even.}
\end{aligned}
$$

For the first equality, we use Lemma 3.19 and consider

$$
(d_B+d)T_\infty=\int_0^1\mathrm{str}_\Psi\,\big(\big[\nabla^{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta)}(r),Ne^{-(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta)}(r))^2}\big]\big)dr,
$$

where $\nabla^{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta)}(r)=\Pi_0\big(rL^{E^\bullet_\flat}+(1-r)\big(L^{E^\bullet_\flat}\big)'+\nabla^G\big)\Pi_0$, as in (35). Because $L^{E^\bullet_\flat}$ is the degree $(1,0)$ component of D_B, it follows that $\nabla^{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta)}(r)$ preserves the grading of $\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta)=\oplus(\wedge^\bullet V'\otimes E)\cap\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta)$, and hence commutes with N. Therefore

$$
\big[\nabla^{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta)}(r),Ne^{-(\nabla^{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta)}(r))^2}\big]=0.
$$

As for the second equality, observe that by Lemma 5.5, T_0 is the $t\to 0$ limit of the family of closed forms $-\frac{n}{2}\int_0^1\mathrm{str}_\Psi\,\big(e^{-(D_t(r))^2}\big)dr$. □

Remark 5.9. In [13] it was furthermore proven that both T_∞ and T_0 are exact in $\widetilde{\Omega}^\bullet_{\ell^2}(B\rtimes G)_{\mathrm{Ab}}$.

A non-commutative Riemann-Roch-Grothendieck index theorem immediately follows from Theorem 5.8, which can be stated as:

Corollary 5.10. *Suppose* $\dim Z$ *is even. One has the equality*

$$\mathrm{CS}^{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta)}(L^{E^\bullet_\flat}, (L^{E^\bullet_\flat})') = \int_{Z_x} \chi e(\nabla^{M/B}) \int_0^1 \mathrm{tr}\left(\psi e^{-(r\nabla^E + (1-r)(\nabla^E)' + \nabla^G)^2}\right) dt$$

in $\mathbf{H}^\bullet(\widetilde{\Omega}^\bullet_{\ell^2}(B \rtimes G)_{\mathrm{Ab}})$.

Note that $\mathrm{CS}^{\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta)}(L^{E^\bullet_\flat}, (L^{E^\bullet_\flat})')$ is just the Chern-Simon form on the (flat) bundle $\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta)$.

Remark 5.11. If on the other hand, $\dim Z$ is odd and $(E^\bullet, d^{\nabla^E})$ is acyclic (i.e. $\Pi_0 = 0$), then $(d + d_B)T = 0$ and T defines a class in $\mathbf{H}^\bullet(\Omega^\bullet_{\ell^2}(B \rtimes G)_{\mathrm{Ab}})$. Using the arguments in [3, Theorem 3.24], it can be shown that the class of T does not depend on the choice of G-invariant Riemannian metric g^M. Also note that $T \in \Omega^\bullet_{\ell^2}(B \rtimes G)_{\mathrm{Ab}}$ is non-trivial even if B is a point.

6. Concluding remarks

In this paper, we generalized the Bismut-Lott analytic torsion form (Definition 5.7) to the non-commutative transformation groupoid convolution algebra, following the local index theory formalism established in [7]; we showed that this torsion form satisfies a transgression formula (Theorem 5.8) – as expected for a torsion form. It should be straightforward, but still interesting, to generalize our torsion form to general Etale groupoids and holonomy groupiods (i.e. foliations), and compare with [10].

References

[1] S. Azzali, S. Goette, and T. Schick. Large time limit and L^2 local index for families. *J. Noncommu. Geom.*, **9**(2):621–664, 2015.

[2] N. Berline, E. Getzler, and M. Vergne. *Heat kernels and Dirac operators*. Springer-Verlag, 1992.

[3] J.M. Bismut and J. Lott. Flat bundles, direct images and higher real analytic torsion. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **8**(2):291–363, 1995.

[4] J.M. Bismut, X. Ma, and W. Zhang. Asymptotic torsion and toeplitz operators. preprint http://www.math.u-psud.fr/∼bismut/liste-prepub.html, 2011.

[5] J.-L. Brylinski and V. Nistor. Cyclic homology of Etale groupoids. *K-Theory*, **8**:341–365, 1994.

[6] A. Connes. *Noncommutative geometry*. Academic press, 1994.

[7] A. Gorokhosky and J. Lott. Local index theory over Etale groupoids. *J. Reine. Angew. Math.*, **560**:151–198, 2003.

[8] A. Gorokhosky and J. Lott. Local index theory over foliation groupoids. *Adv. Math.*, **244**(4):351–386, 2007.

[9] J.L. Heitsch. Bismut super-connections and the Chern character for Dirac operators on foliated manifolds. *K-Theory*, **9**:507–528, 1995.

[10] J.L. Heitsch and C. Lazarov. Riemann-Roch-Grothendieck and torsion for foliations. *J. Geom. Anal.*, **12**(3):437–468, 2002.

[11] E. Leichtnam and P. Piazza. Etale groupoids, eta invariants and index theory. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **587**:169–233, 2005.

[12] J.A. Alvarez Lopez and Y.A. Kordyukov. Long time behavior of leafwise heat flow for Riemannian foliations. *Compositio Math.*, **125**(2):129–153, 2001.

[13] J. Lott. Diffeomorphisms and noncommutative analytic torsion. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **141**:1–56, 1999.

[14] V. Nistor, A. Weinstein, and P. Xu. Pseudodifferential operators on differential groupoids. *Pac. J. Maths*, **189**(1):117–152, 1999.

[15] M. A. Shubin. Spectra of elliptic operators on non-compact manifolds. In *Methodes semi-classiques Vol 1*, volume 207 of *Asterisque*, pages 35–108, 1992.

[16] B.K. So and G. Su. Regularity of analytic torsion form on families of normal coverings. To appear in Pacific Journal of Mathematics arXiv:1405.4631, 2014.